arXiv:1301.1665v1 [math.QA] 8 Jan 2013

QUANTUM SUPERGROUPS I. FOUNDATIONS

SEAN CLARK, DAVID HILL AND WEIQIANG WANG

Abstract. In this part one of a series of papers, we introduce a new version of quantum covering and super groups with no isotropic odd simple root, which is suitable for the studies of integrable modules, integral forms and bar-involution. A quantum covering group involves parameters q and π with $\pi^2 = 1$, and it specializes at $\pi = -1$ to a quantum supergroup. Following Lusztig, we formulate and establish various structural results of the quantum covering groups, including bilinear form, quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix, Casimir, character formulas for integrable modules, and higher Serre relations.

Introduction

Quantum groups have been ubiquitous in Lie theory, mathematical physics, algebraic combinatorics and low-dimensional topology since their introduction by Drinfeld and Jimbo [Dr, Jim]. We refer to the books of Lusztig and Jantzen [Lu, Jan] for a systematic development of structures and representation theory of quantum groups.

In a recent paper [HW] by two of the authors, the spin nilHecke and quiver Hecke algebras (see Wang [Wa], Kang-Kashiwara-Tsuchioka [KKT], Ellis-Khovanov-Lauda [EKL]) were shown to provide a categorification of quantum covering groups with a quantum parameter q and a second parameter π satisfying $\pi^2 = 1$ (we refer to *loc. cit.* for more references on categorification); a quantum covering group specializes at $\pi = -1$ to half of a quantum supergroup with no isotropic odd simple roots, and to half of the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum group at $\pi = 1$.

In the rank one case, a version of the full quantum covering and super group for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ suitable for constructing an integral form, as well as integrable modules corresponding to each nonnegative integer, was formulated by two of the authors [CW]. In particular, the structures and representation theories of quantum $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ and quantum $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ were shown to be in a complete agreement, also see [Zou] (in contrast to the classical fact that there are "fewer" integrable modules for $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ than for $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$).

The goal of this paper is to lay the foundations of quantum covering and super groups with no isotropic odd simple roots, following Lusztig [Lu, Part I] as a blueprint. We define a new version of quantum covering and super groups with no isotropic odd simple root, which is suitable for the studies of integrable modules for *all* possible dominant integral weights, exactly as for the Drinfeld-Jimbo quantum groups. We formulate and establish various structural results of the quantum covering and super groups, including bilinear form, twisted derivations, integral forms, bar-involution, quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix, Casimir, characters for integrable modules, and quantum (higher) Serre relations.

The results of this paper on quantum covering groups reduce to Lusztig's quantum group setting [Lu] when specializing the parameter π to 1, and on the other hand, reduce to quantum supergroup setting when specializing the parameter π to -1. For this reason, we work almost exclusively with quantum covering groups. Even if one is mainly interested in the super case, writing π systematically for the super sign -1 offers a conceptual explanation for various formulas and constructions. For earlier definitions of quantum supergroups, we refer to Yamane [Ya], Musson-Zou [MZ], Benkart-Kang-Melville [BKM].

Let us describe the main results in detail. As in [Kac], a super Cartan datum is a Cartan datum $(I, \cdot)$ with a partition $I = I_{\overline{0}} \sqcup I_{\overline{1}}$ subject to some natural conditions; also see [HW]. Note the only finite type super Cartan datum is of type $B(0, n)$, for $n \geq 1$. In Section 1, we formulate half the quantum covering group associated to a super Catan datum. We develop the properties of a bilinear form (and a dual version) and twisted derivations on half the quantum covering group systematically. Then we provide a new proof using twisted derivations of a theorem in [HW] (also cf. Geer [Gr]) that the existence of a non-degenerate bilinear form implies the quantum Serre relations.

Motivated by the rank one construction in [CW], we formulate in Section 2 a new version of quantum super and covering groups with generators E_i, F_i, K_μ, and additional generators J_μ, for $i \in I$ and $\mu \in Y$ (the co-weight lattice). The new generators J_i play a crucial role in formulating the notion of integrable modules of a quantum supergroup for *all* dominant integral weights. A study of all such representations was not possible before (cf. [Kac, BKM]).

In Section 3, we formulate the quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix for quantum covering or super groups and establish its basic properties. This generalizes the construction in the rank one case in [CW]. Then we construct the quantum Casimir and use it to prove the complete reducibility of the integrable modules. We show that the simple integrable modules are parametrized by $\pi = \pm 1$ and the dominant integral weights (in contrast to [BKM, Kac]), and their character formulas are expected to coincide with their counterpart for quantum groups (which was established by Lusztig [Lu1]). This character formula (in case $\pi = -1$) is shown to hold for the irreducible integrable modules under some "evenness" restrictions on highest weights as in [BKM] (where a definition of quantum supergroups without operators J_i was used), deforming the construction in [Kac].

The higher Serre relations for quantum covering groups are then established in Section 4.

This paper lays the foundation for further studies of quantum covering and super groups. In a sequel [CHW], we will construct the canonical basis, à la Lusztig and Kashiwara, of quantum covering groups and of integrable modules. In yet another paper, a braid group action on a quantum covering group and its integrable modules will be studied in depth.

Acknowledgement. The third author was partially supported by NSF DMS-1101268.

1. THE ALGEBRA $\mathbf{f}$

In this section, starting with the super Cartan datum and root datum, we formulate half a quantum covering group $\mathbf{f}$ in terms of a bilinear form on a free superalgebra $'\mathbf{f}$, and show that the (q, π)-Serre relations are satisfied in $\mathbf{f}$.

1.1. **Cartan datum and root datum.**

1.1.1. A *Cartan datum* is a pair $(I, \cdot)$ consisting of a finite set I and a symmetric bilinear form $\nu, \nu' \mapsto \nu \cdot \nu'$ on the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ with values in $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying

(a) $d_i = \frac{i \cdot i}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$;

(b) $2\frac{i \cdot j}{i \cdot i} \in -\mathbb{N}$ for $i \neq j$ in I, where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

If the datum can be decomposed as $I = I_{\overline{0}} \coprod I_{\overline{1}}$ such that

(c) $I_{\overline{1}} \neq \emptyset$,

(d) $2\frac{i\cdot j}{i\cdot i} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if $i \in I_{\overline{1}}$,

then it is called a *super Cartan datum*.

A super Cartan datum is called *bar-consistent* or simply *consistent* if it satisfies

(e) $d_i \equiv p(i) \mod 2, \quad \forall i \in I.$

We note that 1.1.1(e) is almost always satisfied for super Cartan data of finite or affine type (with one exception). A super Cartan datum is not assumed to be (bar-)consistent unless specified explicitly below. (Roughly speaking, the "bar-consistent" condition is imposed whenever a bar involution is involved later on.)

Note that Conditions (d) and (e) imply that

(f) $i \cdot j \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

The $i \in I_{\overline{0}}$ are called even, $i \in I_{\overline{1}}$ are called odd. We define a parity function $p : I \to \{0, 1\}$ so that $i \in I_{p(i)}$. We extend this function to the homomorphism $p : \mathbb{Z}[I] \to \mathbb{Z}$. Then p induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\mathbb{Z}[I]$ which we shall call the parity grading.

1.1.2. A *root datum* associated to a super Cartan datum $(I, \cdot)$ consists of

(a) two finitely generated free abelian groups Y, X and a perfect bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : Y \times X \to \mathbb{Z}$;

(b) an embedding $I \subset X$ $(i \mapsto i')$ and an embedding $I \subset Y$ $(i \mapsto i)$ satisfying

(c) $\langle i, j' \rangle = \frac{2i\cdot j}{i\cdot i}$ for all $i, j \in I$.

We will always assume that the image of the imbedding $I \subset X$ (respectively, the image of the imbedding $I \subset Y$) is linearly independent in X (respectively, in Y).

1.1.3. Let $X^+ = \{\lambda \in X \mid \langle i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$. Note that there are no additional "evenness" assumptions for X^+.

1.1.4. Let π be a parameter such that

$$\pi^2 = 1.$$

For any $i \in I$, we set

$$q_i = q^{i\cdot i/2}, \qquad \pi_i = \pi^{p(i)}.$$

Note that when the datum is consistent, $\pi_i = \pi^{\frac{i\cdot i}{2}}$; by induction, we therefore have $\pi^{p(x)} = \pi^{|x|\cdot|x|/2}$. We extend this notation so that if $\nu = \sum \nu_i i \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$, then

$$q_\nu = \prod_i q_i^{\nu_i}, \qquad \pi_\nu = \prod_i \pi_i^{\nu_i}.$$

For any ring R we define a new ring $R^\pi = R[\pi]/(\pi^2 - 1)$ (with π commuting with R). We shall need $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$ below.

1.2. **Braid group and Weyl group.** Assume a Cartan (super) datum $(I, \cdot)$ is given. For $i \neq j \in I$ such that $\langle i, j' \rangle \langle j, i' \rangle > 0$, we define an integer $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ by $\cos^2 \frac{\pi}{m_{ij}} = \frac{1}{4} \langle i, j' \rangle \langle j, i' \rangle$ if it exists, and set $m_{ij} = \infty$ otherwise. We have

$\langle i, j' \rangle \langle j, i' \rangle$	0	1	2	3	≥ 4
m_{ij}	2	3	4	6	∞

The braid group (associated to I) is the group generated by s_i ($i \in I$) subject to the relations (whenever $m_{ij} < \infty$):

$$\underbrace{s_i s_j s_i \cdots}_{m_{ij}} = \underbrace{s_j s_i s_j \cdots}_{m_{ij}}, \tag{a}$$

The Weyl group W is defined to be the group generated by s_i ($i \in I$) subject to relations (a) and additional relations $s_i^2 = 1$ for all i.

For $i \in I$, we let s_i act on X (resp. Y) as follows: for $\lambda \in X, \lambda^\vee \in Y$,

$$s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle i, \lambda \rangle i', \qquad s_i(\lambda^\vee) = \lambda^\vee - \langle \lambda^\vee, i' \rangle i.$$

This defines actions of the Weyl group W on X and Y.

1.3. **The algebras $'\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{f}$.**

1.3.1. Define $'\mathbf{f}$ to be the free associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-superalgebra with 1 and with even generators θ_i for $i \in I_{\bar{0}}$ and odd generators θ_i for $i \in I_{\bar{0}}$. We abuse notation and define the parity grading on $'\mathbf{f}$ by $p(\theta_i) = p(i)$.

1.3.2. $'\mathbf{f} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}$ as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-superalgebra has the multiplication

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(x_1' \otimes x_2') = q^{|x_2| \cdot |x_1'|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x_1')} x_1 x_1' \otimes x_2 x_2'.$$

Here and below, in all displayed formulas, we will implicitly assume the elements involved are $\mathbb{N}[I] \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-homogeneous.

There is a similar multiplication formula in $'\mathbf{f} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1 \otimes & x_2 \otimes x_3)(x_1' \otimes x_2' \otimes x_3') \\ &= q^{|x_2| \cdot |x_1'| + |x_3| \cdot |x_2'| + |x_3| \cdot |x_1'|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x_1') + p(x_3)p(x_2') + p(x_3)p(x_1')} x_1 x_1' \otimes x_2 x_2' \otimes x_3 x_3'.\end{aligned}$$

We will take $r : {'\mathbf{f}} \to {'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}$ to be an algebra homomorphism such that $r(\theta_i) = \theta_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \theta_i$ for all $i \in I$. One checks the following co-associativity holds:
$(r \otimes 1)r = (1 \otimes r)r : {'\mathbf{f}} \to {'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}$; this is an algebra homomorphism.

Proposition 1.3.3. *There exists a unique bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $'\mathbf{f}$ with values in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $(1, 1) = 1$ and*

(a) $(\theta_i, \theta_j) = \delta_{ij}(1 - \pi_i q_i^{-2})^{-1}$ $(\forall i, j \in I)$;
(b) $(x, y'y'') = (r(x), y' \otimes y'')$ $(\forall x, y', y'' \in {'\mathbf{f}})$;
(c) $(xx', y'') = (x \otimes x', r(y''))$ $(\forall x, x', y'' \in {'\mathbf{f}})$.

Moreover, this bilinear form is symmetric.

Here, the induced bilinear form $({'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}) \times ({'\mathbf{f}} \otimes {'\mathbf{f}}) \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ is given by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2, x_1' \otimes x_2') := (x_1, x_1')(x_2, x_2'), \tag{d}$$

for homogeneous $x_1, x_2, x_1', x_2' \in {'\mathbf{f}}$.

This is basically [HW, Proposition 3.3], where $(\theta_i, \theta_j) = \delta_{ij}(1 - \pi_i q_i^2)^{-1}$ was imposed (note a different sign on the exponent for q_i^2). These two cases do not exactly match under the bar-involution (which sends $q \mapsto \pi q^{-1}$), and so we redo a careful proof here.

Proof. We follow [Lu, 1.2.3] to define an associative algebra structure on ${}'\mathbf{f}^* := \oplus_\nu {}'\mathbf{f}_\nu^*$ by transposing the "coproduct" $r : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f} \otimes {}'\mathbf{f}$. In particular, for $g, h \in {}'\mathbf{f}^*$, we define $gh(x) := g \otimes h(r(x))$, where $g \otimes h(y \otimes z) = g(y)h(z)$.

Let $\xi_i \in {}'\mathbf{f}_i^*$ be defined by $\xi_i(\theta_i) = (1 - \pi_i q_i^{-2})^{-1}$. Let $\phi : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f}^*$ be the unique algebra homomorphism such that $\phi(\theta_i) = \xi_i$ for all i. The map ϕ preserves the $\mathbb{N}[I] \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-grading.

Define $(x, y) = \phi(y)(x)$, for $x, y \in {}'\mathbf{f}$. The properties (a) and (b) follows directly from the definition.

Clearly $(x, y) = 0$ unless (homogeneous) x, y have the same weight in $\mathbb{N}[I]$ and the same parity. All elements involved below will be assumed to be homogeneous.

It remains to prove (c). Assume that (c) is known for y'' replaced by y or y' and for any x, x'. We then prove that (c) holds for $y'' = yy'$. Write

$$r(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2, \quad r(x') = \sum x'_1 \otimes x'_2,$$
$$r(y) = \sum y_1 \otimes y_2, \quad r(y') = \sum y'_1 \otimes y'_2.$$

Then

$$r(xx') = \sum q^{|x_2| \cdot |x'_1|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x'_1)} x_1 x'_1 \otimes x_2 x'_2,$$
$$r(yy') = \sum q^{|y_2| \cdot |y'_1|} \pi^{p(y_2)p(y'_1)} y_1 y'_1 \otimes y_2 y'_2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(xx', yy') &= (\phi(y)\phi(y'))(xx') = (\phi(y) \otimes \phi(y'))(r(xx')) \\
&= \sum q^{|x_2| \cdot |x'_1|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x'_1)} (x_1 x'_1, y)(x_2 x'_2, y') \\
&= \sum q^{|x_2| \cdot |x'_1|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x'_1)} (x_1 \otimes x'_1, r(y))(x_2 \otimes x'_2, r(y')) \\
&= \sum q^{|x_2| \cdot |x'_1|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x'_1)} (x_1, y_1)(x'_1, y_2)(x_2, y'_1)(x'_2, y'_2). \qquad \text{(e)}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(x \otimes x', r(yy')) &= \sum q^{|y_2| \cdot |y'_1|} \pi^{p(y_2)p(y'_1)} (x \otimes x', y_1 y'_1 \otimes y_2 y'_2) \\
&= \sum q^{|y_2| \cdot |y'_1|} \pi^{p(y_2)p(y'_1)} (x, y_1 y'_1)(x', y_2 y'_2) \\
&= \sum q^{|y_2| \cdot |y'_1|} \pi^{p(y_2)p(y'_1)} (r(x), y_1 \otimes y'_1)(r(x'), y_2 \otimes y'_2) \\
&= \sum q^{|y_2| \cdot |y'_1|} \pi^{p(y_2)p(y'_1)} (x_1, y_1)(x'_1, y_2)(x_2, y'_1)(x'_2, y'_2). \qquad \text{(f)}
\end{aligned}$$

For a summand to make nonzero contribution, we may assume that each of the four pairs $\{x_1, y_1\}, \{x'_1, y_2\}, \{x_2, y'_1\}, \{x'_2, y'_2\}$ have the same weight in $\mathbb{N}[I]$ and the same parity. One checks that the powers of q and π in (e) and (f) match perfectly. Hence the two sums in (e) and (f) are equal, and whence (c). □

1.3.4. *The ideal* $\mathcal{I}$. We set $\mathcal{I}$ to denote the radical of $(\cdot, \cdot)$. As in [Lu], this radical is a 2-sided ideal of ${}'\mathbf{f}$.

1.3.5. *The algebra* $\mathbf{f}$. Let $\mathbf{f} = {}'\mathbf{f}/\mathcal{I}$ be the quotient algebra of ${}'\mathbf{f}$ by its radical. Since the different weight spaces are orthogonal with respect to this inner product, the weight space decomposition descends to a decomposition $\mathbf{f} = \bigoplus_\nu \mathbf{f}_\nu$ where $\mathbf{f}_\nu$ is the image of ${}'\mathbf{f}_\nu$. Each weight space is finite dimensional. The bilinear form descends to a bilinear form on $\mathbf{f}$ which is non-degenerate on each weight space.

Note that the notation of $'\mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{f}$ in this paper corresponds to the notation of $'\mathbf{f}^{\pi}$ and $\mathbf{f}^{\pi}$ in [HW].

1.3.6. *The homomorphism* $r : \mathbf{f} \to \mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{f}$. The coproduct r also descends to $\mathbf{f}$.

1.3.7. ${}^t r : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f} \otimes {}'\mathbf{f}$ is the composition of r with the permutation map

$$x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$$

of $'\mathbf{f} \otimes {}'\mathbf{f}$ to itself. (To have the signs work out below, the tensor permutation cannot be signed.)

The anti-involution $\sigma : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f}$ satisfies $\sigma(\theta_i) = \theta_i$ for each $i \in I$ and

$$\sigma(xy) = \sigma(y)\sigma(x).$$

Lemma 1.3.8. (a) *We have* $r(\sigma(x)) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma){}^t r(x)$, *for all* $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$.

(b) *We have* $(\sigma(x), \sigma(x')) = (x, x')$ *for all* $x, x' \in {}'\mathbf{f}$.

Proof. Since (b) will follow immediately from (a), it suffices to prove that $r(\sigma(x)) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma){}^t r(x)$, for all $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$. This is obviously true for $x \in \{1, \theta_i : i \in I\}$.

Suppose that $r(\sigma(x')) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma){}^t r(x')$ and $r(\sigma(x'')) = (\sigma \otimes \sigma){}^t r(x'')$. Let $r(x') = \sum x_1' \otimes x_2'$ and $r(x'') = \sum x_1'' \otimes x_2''$. Then $r(x'x'') = \sum q^{|x_2'||x_1''|} \pi^{p(x_2')p(x_1'')} x_1'x_1'' \otimes x_2'x_2''$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(\sigma(x'x'')) &= r(\sigma(x''))r(\sigma(x')) \\ &= \left(\sum \sigma(x_2'') \otimes \sigma(x_1'')\right) \left(\sum \sigma(x_2') \otimes \sigma(x_1')\right) \\ &= \sum \pi^{p(x_2')p(x_1'')} q^{|x_2'||x_1''|} \sigma(x_2'x_2'') \otimes \sigma(x_1'x_1'') = \sigma \otimes \sigma({}^t r(x'x'')). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

1.3.9. σ descends to $\mathbf{f}$ and shares the above properties.

1.3.10. Let $\bar{\ } : \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$ be the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map (called bar involution) satisfying $\overline{q} = \pi q^{-1}$ and $\overline{\pi} = \pi$.

Assume the super Cartan datum is consistent. Then

$$\overline{q_i} = \pi_i q_i^{-1}. \tag{a}$$

We define a bar involution $\bar{\ } : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f}$ such that $\overline{\theta_i} = \theta_i$ for all $i \in I$ and $\overline{fx} = \overline{f}\,\overline{x}$ for $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$ and $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$.

Let $'\mathbf{f} \overline{\otimes} {}'\mathbf{f}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-vector space $'\mathbf{f} \otimes {}'\mathbf{f}$ with multiplication given by

$$(x_1 \otimes x_2)(x_1' \otimes x_2') = (\pi q^{-1})^{|x_2| \cdot |x_1'|} \pi^{p(x_2)p(x_1')} x_1 x_1' \otimes x_2 x_2'.$$

Define $\overline{r}$ still by $\overline{r}(x) = \overline{r(\overline{x})}$. Then $\overline{r} : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f} \overline{\otimes} {}'\mathbf{f}$ is an algebra homomorphism, being a composition of homomorphisms.

The co-associativity holds for $\overline{r}$:

$$(\overline{r} \otimes 1)(\overline{r}(x)) = \overline{(r \otimes 1)r(\overline{x})} = \overline{(1 \otimes r)r(\overline{x})} = (1 \otimes \overline{r})(\overline{r}(x)).$$

By checking on the algebra generators θ_i, it is an easy computation to see that this is an algebra homomorphism.

Let $\{\cdot, \cdot\} : {}'\mathbf{f} \times {}'\mathbf{f} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)$ be the symmetric bilinear form defined by

$$\{x, y\} = \overline{(\overline{x}, \overline{y})}.$$

It satisfies: $\{1, 1\} = 1$, and

(a) $\{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = \delta_{i,j}(1 - \pi_i q_i^2)^{-1}$;
(b) $\{x, y'y''\} = \{\overline{r}(x), y' \otimes y''\}$ for all $x, y', y'' \in {}'\mathbf{f}$.

Lemma 1.3.11. *Assume the super Cartan datum is consistent.*

(a) *Let* $r(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2$. *We have*

$$\overline{r}(x) = \sum (\pi q)^{-|x_1|\cdot|x_2|} \pi^{p(x_1)p(x_2)} x_2 \otimes x_1.$$

(b) $\{x, y\} = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}|x|} \pi^{\frac{p(x)p(y)+p(x)}{2}} q^{-|x|\cdot|y|/2} q_{-|x|}(x, \sigma(y))$.

Proof. It is straightforward to check both claims are true when $x = \theta_i$ and $y = \theta_j$ for some $i, j \in I$.

Assume (a) holds for x replaced by x' and by x''. We shall prove the claim for $x = x'x''$. Recall $\overline{q} = \pi q^{-1}$, and $r(\overline{x}) = \overline{\overline{r}(x)}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned} r(x') = \sum x_1' \otimes x_2', \quad r(x'') = \sum x_1'' \otimes x_2'', \\ r(x'x'') = \sum q^{|x_1''|\cdot|x_2'|} \pi^{p(x_1'')p(x_2')} x_1'x_1'' \otimes x_2'x_2''. \end{aligned} \tag{c}$$

By assumption, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(\overline{x'}) = \sum q^{|x_1'|\cdot|x_2'|} \pi^{p(x_1')p(x_2')} \overline{x_2'} \otimes \overline{x_1'}, \\ r(\overline{x''}) = \sum q^{|x_1''|\cdot|x_2''|} \pi^{p(x_1'')p(x_2'')} \overline{x_2''} \otimes \overline{x_1''}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} r(\overline{x'})r(\overline{x''}) &= \sum q^{|x_1'|\cdot|x_2'|} \pi^{p(x_1')p(x_2')} q^{|x_1''|\cdot|x_2''|} \pi^{p(x_1'')p(x_2'')} (\overline{x_2'} \otimes \overline{x_1'})(\overline{x_2''} \otimes \overline{x_1''}) \\ &= \sum q^{|x_1'|\cdot|x_2'|+|x_1''|\cdot|x_2''|} \pi^{p(x_1')p(x_2')+p(x_1'')p(x_2'')+p(x_1')p(x_2'')} q^{|x_1'|\cdot|x_2''|} \overline{x_2'x_2''} \otimes \overline{x_1'x_1''}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{r}(x'x'') &= \overline{r(\overline{x'})r(\overline{x''})} \\ &= \sum (\pi q)^{-(|x_1'|\cdot|x_2'|+|x_1''|\cdot|x_2''|+|x_1'|\cdot|x_2''|)} \pi^{p(x_1')p(x_2')+p(x_1'')p(x_2'')+p(x_1')p(x_2'')} x_2'x_2'' \otimes x_1'x_1'' \\ &= \sum (\pi q)^{-|x_1'x_1''|\cdot|x_2'x_2''|} \pi^{p(x_1'x_1'')p(x_2'x_2'')} q^{|x_1''|\cdot|x_2'|} \pi^{p(x_1'')p(x_2')+|x_1''|\cdot|x_2'|} x_2'x_2'' \otimes x_1'x_1''. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since the datum is consistent, $|x_1''| \cdot |x_2'| \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and hence we have

$$\overline{r}(x'x'') = \sum (\pi q)^{-|x_1'x_1''|\cdot|x_2'x_2''|} \pi^{p(x_1'x_1'')p(x_2'x_2'')} q^{|x_1''|\cdot|x_2'|} \pi^{p(x_1'')p(x_2')} x_2'x_2'' \otimes x_1'x_1''. \tag{d}$$

Comparing (c) and (d), we see that (a) holds.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of $y \in {}'\mathbf{f}$ such that (b) holds for all $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$. Let $y', y'' \in S$; we will show $y = y'y'' \in S$ Let $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$ and write $r(x) = \sum x' \otimes x''$ with x, x'' homogeneous. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\{x, y'y''\right\} &= \left\{\overline{r}(x), y' \otimes y''\right\} = \left\{\sum (\pi q)^{-|x'|\cdot|x''|} \pi^{p(x')p(x'')} x'' \otimes x', y' \otimes y''\right\} \\
&= \sum q^{-|x'|\cdot|x''|} \pi^{p(x')p(x'')} \left\{x'', y'\right\} \left\{x', y''\right\} \\
&= \sum (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}|x'| + \mathrm{ht}|x''|} q^{\frac{-|x''|\cdot|y'| - |x'|\cdot|y''| - 2|x'|\cdot|x''|}{2}} q_{-|x'|-|x''|} \\
&\qquad * \pi^{p(x')p(x'') + \frac{p(x')p(y'')+p(x')}{2} + \frac{p(x'')p(y')-p(x'')}{2}} (x'', \sigma(y'))(x', \sigma(y'')) \\
&\overset{(\dagger)}{=} \sum (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}|x|} q^{\frac{-|x|\cdot|y|}{2}} q_{-|x|} \pi^{\frac{p(x)p(y)+p(x)}{2}} (x' \otimes x'', \sigma(y'') \otimes \sigma(y')) \\
&= (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}|x|} q^{\frac{-|x|\cdot|y|}{2}} q_{-|x|} \pi^{\frac{p(x)p(y)+p(x)}{2}} (x, \sigma(y'y''))
\end{aligned}$$

where the equality (†) follows from the observation that the nonzero terms in the sum only occur when the each of the pairs $\{x', y''\}$ and $\{x'', y'\}$ are of the same weight and parity. Therefore we see $y \in S$. Since the algebra generators lie in S, the claim is proved. □

1.3.12. Assume the super Cartan datum is consistent. It follows that $^{-}$ descends to an involution on $\mathbf{f}$.

1.3.13. *The maps* r_i *and* ${}_ir$. Let $i \in I$. Clearly there are unique $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-linear maps $r_i, {}_ir : {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{f}$ such that $r_i(1) = {}_ir(1) = 0$ and $r_i(\theta_j) = {}_ir(\theta_j) = \delta_{ij}$ satisfying

$${}_ir(xy) = {}_ir(x)y + \pi^{p(x)p(i)} q^{|x|\cdot i} x {}_ir(y)$$

$$r_i(xy) = \pi^{p(y)p(i)} q^{|y|\cdot i} r_i(x)y + x r_i(y)$$

for homogeneous $x, y \in {}'\mathbf{f}$, see [K]. We see that if $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}_{\nu}$, then ${}_ir(x), r_i(x) \in {}'\mathbf{f}_{\nu - i}$ and moreover that

$$r(x) = r_i(x) \otimes \theta_i + \theta_i \otimes {}_ir(x) + (...) \tag{a}$$

where (...) stands in for other bi-homogeneous terms. Therefore, we have

$$(\theta_i y, x) = (\theta_i, \theta_i)(y, {}_ir(x)), \quad (y\theta_i, x) = (\theta_i, \theta_i)(y, r_i(x)) \tag{b}$$

for all $x, y \in {}'\mathbf{f}$, so ${}_ir(\mathcal{I}) \cup r_i(\mathcal{I}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$. Hence, both maps descend to maps on $\mathbf{f}$. It is also easy to check that

$$r_i\, \sigma = \sigma\, {}_ir.$$

Indeed, this is trivially true for the generators, and if this holds for $x, y \in \mathbf{f}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
r_i\, \sigma(xy) = r_i(\sigma(y)\sigma(x)) &= \pi^{p(i)p(x)} q^{i\cdot|x|} r_i(\sigma(y))\sigma(x) + \sigma(y) r_i(\sigma(x)) \\
&= \sigma(\pi^{p(i)p(x)} q^{i\cdot|x|} x {}_ir(y) + {}_ir(x)y) = \sigma\, {}_ir(xy).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1.3.14. *Assume* $(I, \cdot)$ *is consistent. For any homogeneous* $x \in \mathbf{f}$*, we have*

$$r_i(x) = \pi^{p(x)p(i) - p(i)p(i)} q^{|x|\cdot i - i\cdot i} \overline{{}_ir(\overline{x})}.$$

Proof. This is trivial when $x = \theta_i$. Now assume this is true for $x, y \in {}'\mathbf{f}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{{}_ir(\overline{xy})} &= \overline{{}_ir(\overline{x})}y + \pi^{p(x)p(i)}(\pi q)^{-|x|\cdot i}\overline{{}_ir(\overline{y})} \\
&= \pi^{-p(x)p(i)+p(i)p(i)}q^{-|x|\cdot i+i\cdot i}r_i(x)y \\
&\quad + \pi^{-p(y)p(i)+p(i)p(i)}q^{-|y|\cdot i+i\cdot i}\pi^{p(x)p(i)}(\pi q)^{-|x|\cdot i}xr_i(y) \\
&= \pi^{-p(x+y)p(i)+p(i)p(i)}q^{-|x+y|\cdot i+i\cdot i}\left(\pi^{p(y)p(i)}q^{|y|\cdot i}r_i(x)y + xr_i(y)\right) \\
&= \pi^{-p(x+y)p(i)+p(i)p(i)}q^{-|x+y|\cdot i+i\cdot i}r_i(xy).
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. ☐

Lemma 1.3.15. *Let $x \in \mathbf{f}_\nu$ where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ is nonzero.*

(a) *If $r_i(x) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $x = 0$.*
(b) *If ${}_ir(x) = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then $x = 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r_i(x) = 0$ for all i. Using 1.3.13(b), this means that $(y\theta_i, x) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbf{f}$ and all $i \in I$. But since $\mathbf{f}$ is spanned by monomials in the θ_i, this implies $x \in \mathcal{I}$, and so $x = 0$ in $\mathbf{f}$. The proof of (b) is proceeds similarly. ☐

1.4. **Gaussian (q, π)-binomial coefficients.**

1.4.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$, and let $\mathcal{A}^\pi$ be as in §1.1.3. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the (q, π)-*binomial coefficients* to be

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{t-1}((\pi_i q_i)^{a-s} - q_i^{s-a})}{\prod_{s=1}^{t}((\pi_i q_i)^s - q_i^{-s})}.$$

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = (-1)^t \pi_i^{ta-\binom{t}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} t-a-1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \tag{a}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = 0 \qquad \text{if } 0 \le a < t, \tag{b}$$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{a-1}\left(1 + (\pi_i q_i^2)^j z\right) = \sum_{t=0}^{a} \pi_i^{\binom{t}{2}} q_i^{t(a-1)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i z^t \quad \text{if } a \ge 0. \tag{c}$$

Here z is another indeterminate. From (a) and (c) we deduce that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{d}$$

If a', a'' are integers and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a'+a'' \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = \sum_{t'+t''=t} \pi_i^{t't''+a't''} q_i^{a't''-a''t'} \begin{bmatrix} a' \\ t' \end{bmatrix}_i \begin{bmatrix} a'' \\ t'' \end{bmatrix}_i. \tag{e}$$

1.4.2. We have $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = (-1)^t \pi_i^{\binom{t+1}{2}}$ for any $t \ge 0$, $i \in I$.

1.4.3. For (q,π)-*integers* we shall denote

$$[n]_i = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{(\pi_i q_i)^n - q_i^{-n}}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$[n]_i^! = \prod_{s=1}^{n} [s]_i \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and with this notation we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = \frac{[a]_i^!}{[t]_i^! [a-t]_i^!} \quad \text{for } 0 \le t \le a.$$

Note that the (q,π)-integers $[n]_i$ and the (q,π)-binomial coefficients in general are not necessarily bar-invariant unless the super Cartan datum is consistent; see 1.3.10(a).

1.4.4. If $a \ge 1$, then we have

$$\sum_{t=0}^{a} (-1)^t \pi_i^{\binom{t}{2}} q_i^{t(a-1)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = 0 \tag{a}$$

which follows from 1.4.1(c) by setting $z = -1$.

1.4.5. If x, y are two elements in a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra such that $xy = \pi_i q_i^2 yx$. Then for any $a \ge 0$, we have the quantum binomial formula:

$$(x+y)^a = \sum_{t=0}^{a} q_i^{t(a-t)} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i y^t x^{a-t}.$$

1.5. **Quantum Serre relations.**

1.5.1. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let the divided powers $\theta_i^{(n)}$ (in $\mathbf{f}$ or $'\mathbf{f}$) be defined as $\theta_i/[n]_i^!$ if $n \ge 0$ and 0 otherwise.

Lemma 1.5.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$r(\theta_i^{(n)}) = \sum_{t+t'=n} q_i^{tt'} \theta_i^{(t)} \otimes \theta_i^{(t')}, \tag{a}$$

$$\overline{r}(\theta_i^{(n)}) = \sum_{t+t'=n} (\pi_i q_i)^{-tt'} \theta_i^{(t)} \otimes \theta_i^{(t')}. \tag{b}$$

Proof. By the quantum binomial formula in 1.4.5 applied to $x = 1 \otimes \theta_i$ and $y = \theta_i \otimes 1$, the formula follows. □

Lemma 1.5.3. *For any $n \ge 0$, we have*

$$(\theta_i^{(n)}, \theta_i^{(n)}) = \prod_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\pi_i^{s-1}}{1 - (\pi_i q_i^{-2})^s} = \pi_i^n q_i^{\binom{n+1}{2}} (\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-n} ([n]_i^!)^{-1}.$$

Proof. We prove by induction on n. The lemma is true by definition for $n = 0, 1$. For general n, it follows by Lemma 1.5.2(a) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\theta_i^{(n)}, \theta_i^{(n)}\right) &= [n]_i^{-1}\left(\theta_i^{(n-1)} \otimes \theta_i, r\left(\theta_i^{(n)}\right)\right)\\ &= [n]_i^{-1}\left(\theta_i^{(n-1)} \otimes \theta_i, \sum_{t+t'=n} q_i^{tt'}\theta_i^{(t)} \otimes \theta_i^{(t')}\right)\\ &= [n]_i^{-1}\left(\theta_i^{(n-1)} \otimes \theta_i, q_i^{n-1}\theta_i^{(n-1)} \otimes \theta_i\right)\\ &= q_i^{n-1}[n]_i^{-1}(\theta_i, \theta_i)\left(\theta_i^{(n-1)}, \theta_i^{(n-1)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Hence by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\theta_i^{(n)}, \theta_i^{(n)}\right) &= q_i^{n-1}[n]_i^{-1}(1-\pi_i q_i^{-2})^{-1}\pi_i^{n-1}q_i^{\binom{n}{2}}(\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-n+1}([n-1]_i^!)^{-1}\\ &= \pi_i^n q_i^{\binom{n+1}{2}}(\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-n}([n]_i^!)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. □

Proposition 1.5.4 (Quantum Serre relation)**.** *The generators θ_i of $\mathbf{f}$ satisfy the relations*

$$\sum_{n+n'=1-\langle i,j'\rangle} (-1)^{n'}\pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}}\theta_i^{(n)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(n')} = 0$$

for any $i \neq j$ in I.

Proposition 1.5.4 appeared as [HW, Theorem 3.8]. We shall give a new and simpler proof of Proposition 1.5.4 in 1.5.6 below after some preparation.

Lemma 1.5.5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, a' \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N = a + a'$. Let $i, j, k \in I$ be pairwise distinct. Then*

$$r_k(\theta_i^{(a)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(a')}) = 0, \tag{a}$$

$$r_j(\theta_i^{(a)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(a')}) = q_i^{a'\langle i,j\rangle}\pi_i^{a'p(j)}\begin{bmatrix}N\\a'\end{bmatrix}_i\theta_i^{(N)}, \tag{b}$$

$$r_i(\theta_i^{(a)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(a')}) = q_i^{a'+(N+\langle i,j\rangle-1)}\pi_i^{a'+p(j)}\theta_i^{(a-1)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(a')} + q_i^{a'-1}\theta_i^{(a)}\theta_j\theta_i^{(a'-1)}. \tag{c}$$

Proof. Part (a) is clear from definitions. By 1.3.13(a) and Lemma 1.5.2(a) we have

$$r_{i'}(\theta_{j'}^{(a)}) = \delta_{i',j'}q_{i'}^{a-1}\theta_{i'}^{(a-1)}.$$

Parts (b) and (c) follow from this and noting

$$r_i(cba) = cbr_i(a) + \pi^{p(i)p(a)}q^{i\cdot|a|}cr_i(b)a + \pi^{p(i)p(a)+p(i)p(b)}q^{i\cdot|a|+i\cdot|b|}r_i(c)ba.$$

The lemma is proved. □

1.5.6. *Proof of Proposition 1.5.4.* Let $N = 1 - \langle i, j' \rangle$. By the previous lemma, we have

$$r_k\Big(\sum_{n+n'=N} (-1)^{n'} \pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} \theta_i^{(n)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(n')}\Big) = 0, \qquad \text{for } k \neq i, j.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_j &\left(\sum_{n+n'=N} (-1)^{n'} \pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} \theta_i^{(n)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(n')} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n+n'=N} (-1)^{n'} \pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} q_i^{n'\langle i,j \rangle} \pi_i^{n'p(j)} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ n' \end{bmatrix}_i \theta_i^{(N)} \\ &= \theta_i^{(N)} \sum_{t=0}^{N} (-1)^t \pi_i^{\binom{t}{2}} (q_i)^{t(1-N)} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

By Condition 1.1.1(e), $1 - N \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if i is odd, so in any case, the right-hand side of the last equation is

$$= \theta_i^{(N)} \sum_{t=0}^{N} (-1)^t \pi_i^{\binom{t}{2}} (\pi_i q_i^{-1})^{t(N-1)} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ t \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$

where the last equality follows from 1.4.4. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &\left(\sum_{n+n'=N} (-1)^{n'} \pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} \theta_i^{(n)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(n')} \right) \\ &= \sum_{n+n'=N} (-1)^{n'} \left(\pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} q_i^{n'} \pi_i^{n'+p(j)} \theta_i^{(n-1)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(n')} + \pi_i^{n'p(j)+\binom{n'}{2}} q_i^{n'-1} \theta_i^{(n)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(n'-1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} (-1)^t \left(\pi_i^{tp(j)+p(j)+\binom{t+1}{2}} q_i^t \theta_i^{(N-1-t)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(t)} - \pi_i^{(t+1)p(j)+\binom{t+1}{2}} q_i^t \theta_i^{(N-1-t)} \theta_j \theta_i^{(t)} \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now Proposition 1.5.4 follows by Lemma 1.3.15. □

Note that the bar map $\bar{\ }$ on $\mathbf{f}$ may not be well-defined when the datum is not consistent. For example, consider the case $(I, \cdot)$ has $i, j \in I_{\bar{0}}$ with $i \cdot j = -1$, hence $d_i = d_j = 1$. Then the calculations above hold; that is, $s(\theta_i, \theta_j) := \theta_i^{(2)} \theta_j - \theta_i \theta_j \theta_i + \theta_j \theta_i^{(2)} = 0$; however, since $\overline{[2]_i} = \pi[2]_i$, it is easy to see that $\overline{s(\theta_i, \theta_j)} \notin \mathcal{I}$.

1.5.7. *The algebra* ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}$. Let ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}$ be $\mathcal{A}^\pi$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{f}$ generated by the elements $\theta_i^{(s)}$ for various $i \in I$ and $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the generators $\theta_i^{(s)}$ are homogeneous, we have ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f} = \bigoplus_\nu {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_\nu$ where ν runs over $\mathbb{N}[I]$ and ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}_\nu = {}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f} \cap \mathbf{f}_\nu$.

2. THE QUANTUM COVERING AND SUPER GROUPS

In this section we give a general definition of quantum covering groups $\mathbf{U}$ as a Hopf superalgebra, which specializes at $\pi = -1$ to a new variant of quantum supergroups. We show that $\mathbf{U}$ admits a triangular decomposition $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^- \mathbf{U}^0 \mathbf{U}^+$ with positive/negative parts isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbf{f}$. The novelty here is that $\mathbf{U}^0$ contains some new generators $J_i (i \in I)$ which allow us to construct integrable modules in full generality.

2.1. **The algebras ′U and U.**

2.1.1. Assume that a root datum $(Y, X, \langle\ ,\ \rangle)$ of type $(I, \cdot)$ is given. Consider the associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-superalgebra $'\mathbf{U}$ (with 1) defined by the generators

$$E_i \quad (i \in I), \quad F_i \quad (i \in I), \quad J_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y), \quad K_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y),$$

where the parity is given by $p(E_i) = p(F_i) = p(i)$ and $p(K_\mu) = p(J_\mu) = 0$, subject to the relations (a)-(f) below for all $i, j \in I, \mu, \mu' \in Y$:

$$K_0 = 1, \quad K_\mu K_{\mu'} = K_{\mu+\mu'}, \tag{a}$$

$$J_{2\mu} = 1, \quad J_\mu J_{\mu'} = J_{\mu+\mu'}, \tag{b}$$

$$J_\mu K_{\mu'} = K_{\mu'} J_\mu, \tag{c}$$

$$K_\mu E_i = q^{\langle \mu, i' \rangle} E_i K_\mu, \quad J_\mu E_i = \pi^{\langle \mu, i' \rangle} E_i J_\mu, \tag{d}$$

$$K_\mu F_i = q^{-\langle \mu, i' \rangle} F_i K_\mu, \quad J_\mu F_i = \pi^{-\langle \mu, i' \rangle} F_i J_\mu, \tag{e}$$

$$E_i F_j - \pi^{p(i)p(j)} F_j E_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{\widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i}}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}}, \tag{f}$$

where for any element $\nu = \sum_i \nu_i i \in \mathbb{Z}[I]$ we have set $\widetilde{K}_\nu = \prod_i K_{d_i \nu_i i}$, $\widetilde{J}_\nu = \prod_i J_{d_i \nu_i i}$. In particular, $\widetilde{K}_i = K_{d_i i}$, $\widetilde{J}_i = J_{d_i i}$. (Under Condition 1.1.1(e), $\widetilde{J}_i = 1$ for $i \in I_{\overline{0}}$ while $\widetilde{J}_i = J_i$ for $i \in I_{\overline{1}}$.)

We also consider the associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra $\mathbf{U}$ (with 1) defined by the generators

$$E_i \quad (i \in I), \quad F_i \quad (i \in I), \quad J_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y), \quad K_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y)$$

and the relations (a)-(f) above, together with the additional relations

$$\text{for any } f(\theta_i : i \in I) \in \mathfrak{I},\ f(E_i : i \in I) = f(F_i : i \in I) = 0. \tag{g}$$

The algebra $\mathbf{U}$ will be called the *quantum covering group* of type $(I, \cdot)$.

From (g), we see that there are well-defined algebra homomorphisms $\mathbf{f} \to \mathbf{U}$, $x \mapsto x^+$ (with image denoted by $\mathbf{U}^+$) and $\mathbf{f} \to \mathbf{U}$, $x \mapsto x^-$ (with image denoted by $\mathbf{U}^-$) such that $E_i = \theta_i^+$ and $F_i = \theta_i^-$ for all $i \in I$. Clearly, there are well defined algebra homomorphisms $'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{U}$ with the aforementioned properties.

(In terms of standard notations used in some other quantum group literature, it is understood that $K_\mu = q^\mu$ and $K_i = q^{h_i}$. It is instructive to see our new generators J's can be understood in the same vein as $J_\mu = \pi^\mu$ and $J_i = \pi^{h_i}$.)

For any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $E_i^{(p)} = (\theta_i^{(p)})^+$ and $F_i^{(p)} = (\theta_i^{(p)})^-$.

2.1.2. Clearly there is a unique algebra automorphism (of order 4) $\omega : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ such that

$$\omega(E_i) = \pi_i \widetilde{J}_i F_i, \quad \omega(F_i) = E_i, \quad \omega(K_\mu) = K_{-\mu}, \quad \omega(J_\mu) = J_\mu$$

for $i \in I$, $\mu \in Y$. We have $\omega(x^+) = \pi_{|x|} \tilde{J}_{|x|} x^-$ and $\omega(x^-) = x^+$ for all $x \in \mathbf{f}$. (The same formulas define an algebra automorphism $\omega : {}'\mathbf{U} \to {}'\mathbf{U}$ of order 4).

There is a unique isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-vector spaces $\sigma : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ such that

$$\sigma(E_i) = E_i, \quad \sigma(F_i) = \pi_i \tilde{J}_i F_i, \quad \sigma(K_\mu) = K_{-\mu}, \quad \sigma(J_\mu) = J_\mu$$

for $i \in I$, $\mu \in Y$ such that $\sigma(uu') = \sigma(u')\sigma(u)$ for $u, u' \in \mathbf{U}$. We have

$$\sigma(x^+) = \sigma(x)^+, \qquad \sigma(x^-) = \pi_{|x|} \tilde{J}_{|x|} \sigma(x)^-, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{f}. \tag{a}$$

Note that σ on $\mathbf{U}^+$ matches exactly σ on $\mathbf{f}$, but σ on $\mathbf{U}^-$ looks quite different from σ on $\mathbf{f}$ (in contrast to the quantum group setting [Lu]).

Lemma 2.1.3 (Comultiplication)**.** *There is a unique algebra homomorphism* $\Delta : {}'\mathbf{U} \to {}'\mathbf{U} \otimes {}'\mathbf{U}$ *(resp.* $\Delta : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$*) where* ${}'\mathbf{U} \otimes {}'\mathbf{U}$ *(resp.* $\mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{U}$*) is regarded as a superalgebra in the standard way, defined by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(E_i) &= E_i \otimes 1 + \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i \otimes E_i \quad (i \in I)\\
\Delta(F_i) &= F_i \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-i} + 1 \otimes F_i \quad (i \in I)\\
\Delta(K_\mu) &= K_\mu \otimes K_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y)\\
\Delta(J_\mu) &= J_\mu \otimes J_\mu \quad (\mu \in Y).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The relations 2.1.1 (a)-(c) are trivial to verify. For the relation (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(E_i)\Delta(F_j) &= E_iF_j \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j} + \widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes E_iF_i + E_i \otimes F_j + \pi^{p(i)p(j)}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_iF_j \otimes E_i\widetilde{K}_{-j},\\
\Delta(F_j)\Delta(E_i) &= F_iE_j \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j} + \widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes F_jE_i + \pi^{p(i)p(j)}E_i \otimes F_j + F_j\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j}E_i.
\end{aligned}$$

So using the fact that $F_j\widetilde{K}_i \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j}E_i = \widetilde{K}_iF_j \otimes E_i\widetilde{K}_{-j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta(E_i)\Delta(F_j) - \pi^{p(i)p(j)}\Delta(F_j)\Delta(E_i)\\
&\qquad = (E_iF_j - \pi^{p(i)p(j)}F_jE_i) \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j} + \widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes (E_iF_j - \pi^{p(i)p(j)}F_jE_i)\\
&\qquad = \delta_{i,j}\left(\frac{\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i}}{\pi_iq_i - q_i^{-1}}\right) \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-j} + \widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes \left(\delta_{i,j}\frac{\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i}}{\pi_iq_i - q_i^{-1}}\right)\\
&\qquad = \delta_{i,j}\frac{\Delta(\widetilde{J}_i)\Delta(\widetilde{K}_i) - \Delta(\widetilde{K}_{-i})}{\pi_iq_i - q_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, define maps $j^\pm : {}'\mathbf{f} \otimes {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{U} \otimes {}'\mathbf{U}$ given by

$$j^+(x \otimes y) = x^+\widetilde{J}_{|y|}\widetilde{K}_{|y|} \otimes y^+, \qquad j^-(x \otimes y) = x^- \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|x|}y^-.$$

Then by construction, these maps are algebra homomorphisms, and satisfy $j^+r(x) = \Delta(x^+)$ and $j^-\overline{r}(x) = \Delta(x^-)$. Since $r, \overline{r}$ factor through $\mathbf{f}$, so do j^+r and $j^-\overline{r}$ implying that $f(\Delta(E_i)) = f(\Delta(F_i)) = 0$ for all $f(\theta_i : i \in I) \in \mathcal{J}$. ☐

2.1.4. The previous proof shows that $j^+r(x) = \Delta(x^+)$ and $j^-\overline{r}(x) = \Delta(x^-)$, so in particular we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(x^+) &= \sum x_1^+\widetilde{J}_{|x_2|}\widetilde{K}_{|x_2|} \otimes x_2^+,\\
\Delta(x^-) &= \sum \pi^{p(x_1)p(x_2)}(\pi q)^{-|x_1|\cdot|x_2|}x_2^- \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|x_2|}x_1^-,
\end{aligned}$$

for $r(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2$. In particular, this yields the formulas

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(E_i^{(p)}) &= \sum_{p'+p''=p} q_i^{p'p''}\widetilde{J}_i^{p''}E_i^{(p')}\widetilde{K}_i^{p''} \otimes E_i^{(p'')},\\
\Delta(F_i^{(p)}) &= \sum_{p'+p''=p} (\pi_iq_i)^{-p'p''}F_i^{(p')} \otimes \widetilde{K}_i^{-p'}F_i^{(p'')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1.5. *For* $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$ *and* $i \in I$*, we have (in* ${}'\mathbf{U}$*)*

$$x^+F_i - \pi_i^{p(x)}F_ix^+ = \frac{r_i(x)^+\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i}\ \pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)}\ {}_ir(x)^+}{\pi_iq_i - q_i^{-1}}, \tag{a}$$

$$E_ix^- - \pi_i^{p(x)}x^-E_i = \frac{\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\ {}_ir(x)^- - \pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)}r_i(x)^-\widetilde{K}_{-i}}{\pi_iq_i - q_i^{-1}}. \tag{b}$$

Proof. Assume that (a) is known for x' and x''; we shall show it holds for $x = x'x''$. Let $y' = (x')^+$, ${}_iy' = {}_ir(x')^+$ and similarly for r_i, x'' and x.

$$\begin{aligned}
yF_i &= \pi_i^{p(x'')} y' F_i y'' + \frac{y' y''_i \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - y' \widetilde{K}_{-i}\ \pi_i^{p(x'')-p(i)}\ {}_iy''}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \\
&= \pi_i^{p(x'x'')} F_i y + \pi_i^{p(x'')} \frac{y'_i \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i\, y'' - \widetilde{K}_{-i} \pi_i^{p(x')-p(i)}\ {}_iy' y''}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \\
&\quad + \frac{y'\, y''_i \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - y' \widetilde{K}_{-i} \pi_i^{p(x'')-p(i)}\ {}_iy''}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \\
&= \pi_i^{p(x'x'')} F_i y \\
&\quad + \frac{(\pi_i^{p(x'')} q^{i\cdot|x''|} y'_i\, y'' + y'\, y''_i) \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i} \pi_i^{-p(i)} (\pi_i^{p(x)}\, {}_iy'\, y'' + \pi_i^{p(x'')} q^{i\cdot|x'|} y'\, {}_iy'')}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \\
&= \pi^{p(x'x'')p(i)} F_i\, y + \frac{y_i \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - \widetilde{K}_{-i} \pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)}\ {}_iy}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since (a) holds for the generators, it holds for all $x \in {}'\mathbf{f}$.

If we apply ω^{-1}, we obtain

$$\pi_i \widetilde{J}_i x^- E_i - \pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)} \widetilde{J}_i E_i x^- = \frac{r_i(x)^- \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_{-i} - \widetilde{K}_i\ \pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)}\ {}_ir(x)^-}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}},$$

and multiplying both sides by $\pi_i^{p(x)-p(i)} \widetilde{J}_i$ establishes (b). □

We record the following formulas for further use.

Lemma 2.1.6. [CW, Lemma 2.8] *For any* $N, M \geq 0$ *we have in* $\mathbf{U}$ *or* $'\mathbf{U}$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i^{(N)} F_i^{(M)} &= \sum_t \pi_i^{MN-\binom{t+1}{2}} F_i^{(M-t)} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{K}_i; 2t-M-N \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{(N-t)}, \\
F_i^{(N)} E_i^{(M)} &= \sum_t (-1)^t \pi_i^{(M-t)(N-t)-t^2} E_i^{(M-t)} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{K}_i; M+N-(t+1) \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i F_i^{(N-t)}, \\
E_i^{(N)} F_j^{(M)} &= \pi^{MNp(i)p(j)} F_j^{(M)} E_i^{(N)} \quad \textit{if } i \neq j,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{K}_i; a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i = \prod_{s=1}^{t} \frac{(\pi_i q_i)^{a-s+1} \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - q_i^{s-a-1} \widetilde{K}_{-i}}{(\pi_i q_i)^s - q_i^{-s}}.$$

2.1.7. *Coassociativity.* The coproduct Δ is coassociative; this is the same verification as in the non-super case.

2.1.8. *Counit.* There is a unique algebra homomorphism $e : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$ satisfying $e(E_i) = e(F_i) = 0$ and $e(J_\mu) = e(K_\mu) = 1$ for all i, μ.

2.1.9. *The bar involution* $^-$. Recall the bar involution $^-$ on $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$ from 1.3.10. This extends to a unique homomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras $^- : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}$ such that

$$\overline{E_i} = E_i, \quad \overline{F_i} = F_i, \quad \overline{J_\mu} = J_\mu, \quad \overline{K_\mu} = J_\mu K_{-\mu},$$

and $\overline{fx} = \overline{f}\overline{x}$ for all $f \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$ and $x \in \mathbf{U}$.

2.1.10. *The algebra ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}$.* Let ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}^{\pm}$ be the images of ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{f}$ defined in 1.5.7. We define ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbf{U}$ to be the $\mathcal{A}^{\pi}$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by $E_i^{(t)}$, $F_i^{(t)}$, $\begin{bmatrix} K_i;a \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i$, J_μ and K_μ, for all $i \in I$, $\mu \in Y$ and positive integers $a \geq t$.

2.2. Triangular decompositions for $'\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{U}$.

2.2.1. If M', M are two $'\mathbf{U}$-modules, then $M' \otimes M$ is naturally a $'\mathbf{U} \otimes {}'\mathbf{U}$-module; hence by restriction to $'\mathbf{U}$ under Δ, it is a $'\mathbf{U}$-module.

Lemma 2.2.2. *Let $\lambda \in X$. There is a unique $'\mathbf{U}$-module structure on the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-module $'\mathbf{f}$ such that for any homogeneous $z \in {}'\mathbf{f}$, and $\mu \in Y$ and any $i \in I$, we have*

$$K_\mu \cdot z = q^{\langle \mu, \lambda - |z| \rangle} z, \quad J_\mu \cdot z = \pi^{\langle \mu, \lambda - |z| \rangle} z, \quad F_i \cdot z = \theta_i z, \quad E_i \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Proof. The uniqueness is immediate. To prove the existence, define

$$E_i \cdot z = \frac{-q_i^{\langle i, \lambda \rangle} r_i(z) + \pi_i^{p(z)-p(i)} (\pi_i q_i)^{\langle i, \lambda - |z| + i' \rangle} {}_i r(z)}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}}$$

Note that this is essentially the formula prescribed by Proposition 2.1.5. A straightforward computation shows that this, along with the desired formulas for the F and K actions define a $'\mathbf{U}$-module structure on $'\mathbf{f}$. □

2.2.3. We denote this $'\mathbf{U}$-module by M (which is a free $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-module). Similarly, to an element $\lambda \in X$, we associate a unique $'\mathbf{U}$-module structure on $'\mathbf{f}$ such that for any homogeneous $z \in {}'\mathbf{f}$, any $\mu \in Y$ and any $i \in I$ we have

$$K_\mu \cdot z = q^{\langle \mu, -\lambda + |z| \rangle} z, \quad J_\mu \cdot z = \pi^{\langle \mu, -\lambda + |z| \rangle} z, \quad E_i \cdot z = \theta_i z, \quad F_i \cdot 1 = 0.$$

We denote this $'\mathbf{U}$-module by M' (which is again a free $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-module). We form the $'\mathbf{U}$-module $M' \otimes M$; we denote the unit element of $'\mathbf{f} = M$ by 1 and that of $'\mathbf{f} = M'$ by $1'$. Thus, we have the canonical element $1' \otimes 1 \in M' \otimes M$. We emphasize that $M' \otimes M$ is again free as a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-module.

Proposition 2.2.4. *Let $\mathbf{U}^0$ be the associative $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-algebra with 1 defined by the generators K_μ, J_μ ($\mu \in Y$) and the relations in 3.1.1 (a),(b). Then $\mathbf{U}^0$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of $Y \times (Y/2Y)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$. Moreover,*

- (a) *The $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-linear map $'\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{U}^0 \otimes {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{U}$ given by $u \otimes J_\nu K_\mu \otimes w \mapsto u^- J_\nu K_\mu w^+$ is an isomorphism.*
- (b) *The $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-linear map $'\mathbf{f} \otimes \mathbf{U}^0 \otimes {}'\mathbf{f} \to {}'\mathbf{U}$ given by $u \otimes J_\nu K_\mu \otimes w \mapsto u^+ J_\nu K_\mu w^-$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Note that (b) follows from (a) by applying ω. As a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-module, $'\mathbf{U}$ is spanned by words in the E_i, F_i, K_μ, and J_μ. By using the defining relations, we can rewrite any word as a linear combination of words where the F_i come before the J_μ and K_μ, which come before the E_i, thus the given map is surjective.

To prove the map is injective, let $\lambda, \lambda' \in X$. and consider the module $M' \otimes M$ described before. There is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-linear map $\phi : {}'\mathbf{U} \to M' \otimes M$ given by $\phi(u) = u \cdot 1' \otimes 1$. Pick a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$-basis of $'\mathbf{f}$ consisting of homogeneous elements containing 1. Assume that in $'\mathbf{U}$ there is some relation of the form $\sum_{b', \mu, b} c_{b', \mu, b} b'^- J_\nu K_\mu b^+ = 0$ and let N be the largest integer such that $\mathrm{ht}|b'| = N$ and $c_{b', \mu, b} \neq 0$ for some μ, b.

Then

$$0 = \phi\Big(\sum_{b',\mu,\nu,b} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b} b'^{-} J_\nu K_\mu b^{+}\Big) = \sum_{b',\mu,\nu,b} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\Delta(b'^{-} J_\nu K_\mu b^{+})\cdot 1\otimes 1.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(b'^{-}) &= \sum_{b'_1,b'_2} g'(b',b'_1,b'_2)\, b'^{-}_1 \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|b'_1|} b'^{-}_2,\\ \Delta(b^{+}) &= \sum_{b_1,b_2} g(b,b_1,b_2)\, b_1^{+} \widetilde{J}_{|b_2|}\widetilde{K}_{|b_2|}\otimes b_2^{+},\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \sum \pi^{p(b'_2)p(b_1)} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\, g(b,b_1,b_2)\, g'(b',b'_1,b'_2)\, b'^{-}_1 \times&\\ \times J_\nu K_\mu b_1^{+} \widetilde{J}_{|b_2|}\widetilde{K}_{|b_2|}\cdot 1' \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|b'_1|} b'^{-}_2 J_\nu K_\mu b_2^{+}\cdot 1.&\end{aligned}$$

If $b_2 \neq 1$, then $b_2^{+}\cdot 1 = 0$ so we must have $b_2 = 1$ and thus $b_1 = b$. Therefore the expression reduces to

$$0 = \sum \pi^{p(b'_2)p(b)} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\, g'(b',b'_1,b'_2)\, b'^{-}_1 J_\nu K_\mu b^{+}\cdot 1' \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|b'_1|} b'^{-}_2 J_\nu K_\mu \cdot 1.$$

By the definition of the module structure, this becomes

$$0 = \sum \pi^{p(b'_2)p(b)} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\, g'(b',b'_1,b'_2)\, \pi^{\langle \nu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} q^{\langle \mu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} b'^{-}_1\cdot b \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-|b'_1|} b'_2.$$

We can now project this equality onto the summand $M'\otimes {}'\mathbf{f}_\nu$ where $\operatorname{ht}\nu = N$. Then by construction, $|b'_2| \le |b|$ and $\operatorname{ht}|b'_2| = N$. Since $c_{b',\mu,b} = 0$ if $\operatorname{ht}|b'| > N$, we must have $|b| = |b'_2|$ and thus $b' = b'_2$, $b'_1 = 1$, so

$$\sum \pi^{p(b')p(b)} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\, \pi^{\langle \nu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} q^{\langle \mu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} b\otimes b' = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{\nu,\mu} c_{b',\mu,\nu,b}\, \pi^{\langle \nu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} q^{\langle \mu,\lambda-\lambda'+|b|\rangle} = 0$$

for all choices of $\lambda, \lambda', \mu, b$ and b' with $\operatorname{ht}|b'| = N$. Therefore $c_{b',\mu,\nu,b} = 0$ for any b' with $\operatorname{ht}|b'| = N$, contradicting the choice of N. □

Corollary 2.2.5. (a) *The $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-linear map $\mathbf{f}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes\mathbf{f}\to\mathbf{U}$ given by $u\otimes J_\nu K_\mu\otimes w\mapsto u^{-}J_\nu K_\mu w^{+}$ is an isomorphism.*

(b) *The $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-linear map $\mathbf{f}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes\mathbf{f}\to\mathbf{U}$ given by $u\otimes K_\mu\otimes w\mapsto u^{+}J_\nu K_\mu w^{-}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Once again (b) follows from (a) by applying the involution ω. Let $J_\pm$ be the two-sided ideal of $'\mathbf{U}$ generated by $\mathcal{J}^\pm = \{x^\pm : x\in\mathcal{J}\}$. Then $\mathbf{U} = \frac{'\mathbf{U}}{J_+ + J_-}$. Now from Proposition 2.1.5 iterated, we see that

(c) $({}'\mathbf{U}^{+})\mathcal{J}^{-} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{-}\mathbf{U}^0({}'\mathbf{U}^{+})$;

(d) $\mathcal{J}^{+}({}'\mathbf{U}^{-}) \subseteq ({}'\mathbf{U}^{-})\mathbf{U}^0\mathcal{J}^{+}$.

Using the triangular decomposition of $'\mathbf{U}$, we have $J_- = {}'\mathbf{U}\mathcal{J}^{-}\,{}'\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{-}\mathbf{U}^0({}'\mathbf{U}^{+}) \subseteq J_-$, hence $J_- = \mathcal{J}^{-}\mathbf{U}^0({}'\mathbf{U}^{+})$. Similarly, $J_+ = ({}'\mathbf{U}^{-})\mathbf{U}^0\mathcal{J}^{+}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{U} = \frac{{}'\mathbf{U}^{-}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes{}'\mathbf{U}^{+}}{{}'\mathbf{U}^{-}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes\mathcal{J}^{+} + \mathcal{J}^{-}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes{}'\mathbf{U}^{+}} = \frac{{}'\mathbf{U}^{-}}{\mathcal{J}^{-}}\otimes\mathbf{U}^0\otimes\frac{{}'\mathbf{U}^{+}}{\mathcal{J}^{+}},$$

from which (a) follows. □

Corollary 2.2.6. *The maps* $^{\pm} : \mathbf{f} \to \mathbf{U}^{\pm}$, $x \mapsto x^{\pm}$, *are* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$*-algebra isomorphisms, and* $\mathbf{U}^0 \to \mathbf{U}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$*-algebra embedding.*

Proposition 2.2.7. *Let* $x \in \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$ *where* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ *is nonzero.*

(a) *If* $x^+ F_i = \pi_i^{p(x)} F_i x^+$ *for all* $i \in I$ *then* $x = 0$.
(b) *If* $x^- E_i = \pi_i^{p(x)} E_i x^-$ *for all* $i \in I$ *then* $x = 0$.

Proof. It follows from Proposition 2.1.5 and the linear independence of $r_i(x)^+ \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i$ (respectively, the linear independence of $\widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_{-i}\, {}_i r(x)^+$) that $r_i(x)^+ = {}_i r(x)^+ = 0$ for all i. Hence $x = 0$ by Lemma 1.3.15. □

2.3. **Antipode.** For $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$ we write $\nu = \sum_i \nu_i i$ and $\nu = \sum_a i_a$. Then we set

$$c(\nu) = \nu \cdot \nu/2 - \sum_i \nu_i i \cdot i/2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$e(\nu) = \sum_{a<b} p(i_a) p(i_b) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{sop}}$ be the opposite superalgebra of $\mathbf{U}$ (that is, the same vector space as $\mathbf{U}$ with a new multiplication $u * w = (-1)^{p(w)p(u)} wu$), and let $\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{op}}$ be the (usual) opposite algebra of $\mathbf{U}$.

Lemma 2.3.1. *Let* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$.

(a) *There is a unique homomorphism of* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$*-algebras* $S : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}^{op}$ *such that*

$$S(E_i) = -\widetilde{J}_{-i} \widetilde{K}_{-i} E_i, \quad S(F_i) = -F_i \widetilde{K}_i, \quad S(K_{\mu}) = K_{-\mu}, \quad S(J_{\nu}) = J_{-\nu},$$

and $S(xy) = \pi^{p(x)p(y)} S(y) S(x)$ *for all* $x, y \in \mathbf{U}$.

(b) *For any* $x \in \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$*, we have*

$$S(x^+) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu} \pi^{e(\nu)} (\pi q)^{c(\nu)} \widetilde{J}_{-\nu} \widetilde{K}_{-\nu} \sigma(x)^+, \quad S(x^-) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu} \pi^{e(\nu)} q^{-c(\nu)} \sigma(x)^- \widetilde{K}_{\nu}.$$

(c) *There is a unique homomorphism of* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$*-algebras* $S' : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{op}}$ *such that*

$$S'(E_i) = -E_i \widetilde{J}_{-i} \widetilde{K}_{-i}, \qquad S'(F_i) = -\widetilde{K}_i F_i, \qquad S'(K_{\mu}) = K_{-\mu}, \qquad S(J_{\nu}) = J_{-\nu},$$

and $S'(xy) = \pi^{p(x)p(y)} S'(y) S'(x)$ *for all* $x, y \in \mathbf{U}$.

(d) *For any* $x \in \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$*, we have*

$$S'(x^+) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu} \pi^{e(\nu)} (\pi q)^{-c(\nu)} \sigma(x)^+ \widetilde{J}_{-\nu} \widetilde{K}_{-\nu}, \quad S'(x^-) = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu} \pi^{e(\nu)} q^{c(\nu)} \widetilde{K}_{\nu} \sigma(x)^-.$$

(e) *We have* $SS' = S'S = 1$.

(f) *If* $x \in \mathbf{f}_{\nu}$*, then* $S(x^+) = (\pi q)^{-f(\nu)} S'(x^+)$ *and* $S(x^-) = q^{f(\nu)} S'(x^-)$ *where* $f(\nu) = \sum_i \nu_i i \cdot i$.

2.3.2. The map S (resp. S') is called the antipode (resp. the skew-antipode) of $\mathbf{U}$.

2.3.3. Note that

$$S(E_i^{(n)}) = (-1)^n \pi_i^{\binom{n}{2}} (\pi_i q_i^2)^{\binom{n}{2}} \widetilde{J}_{-ni} \widetilde{K}_{-ni} E_i^{(n)}$$

$$S'(E_i^{(n)}) = (-1)^n \pi^{\binom{n}{2}} (\pi_i q_i^2)^{-\binom{n}{2}} E_i^{(n)} \widetilde{J}_{-ni} \widetilde{K}_{-ni}$$

$$S(F_i^{(n)}) = (-1)^n (\pi_i q_i^2)^{-\binom{n}{2}} F_i^{(n)} \widetilde{K}_{ni}$$

$$S'(F_i^{(n)}) = (-1)^n (\pi_i q_i^2)^{\binom{n}{2}} \widetilde{K}_{ni} F_i^{(n)}.$$

2.4. **Specializations of U at $\pi = \pm 1$.** The *specialization at* $\pi = 1$ (respectively, at $\pi = -1$) of a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra R is understood as $\mathbb{Q}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi} R$, where $\mathbb{Q}(q)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-module with π acting as 1 (respectively, as -1).

Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the (2-sided) ideal of $\mathbf{U}$ generated by $\{J_i - 1 | i \in I\}$.

2.4.1. The specialization at $\pi = -1$ of the algebra $\mathbf{U}/\mathcal{J}$ is naturally identified with a quantum group associated to the Cartan datum $(I, \cdot)$ (cf. [Lu]). The specialization at $\pi = 1$ of the algebra $\mathbf{U}$, denoted by $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=1}$, is a variant of this quantum group, with some extra (harmless) central elements J_i. Specialization at $\pi = 1$ for the rest of paper essentially reduces our results to those of Lusztig [Lu].

2.4.2. The specialization at $\pi = 1$ of the superalgebra $\mathbf{U}/\mathcal{J}$ is identified with a quantum supergroup associated to the super Cartan datum $(I, \cdot)$ considered in the literature; cf. [Ya, BKM]. The specialization at $\pi = -1$ of $\mathbf{U}$, denoted by $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=-1}$, will also be referred to as a *quantum supergroup* of type $(I, \cdot)$, and the extra generators J_i allow us to formulate integrable modules $V(\lambda)$ for *all* $\lambda \in X^+$, which was not possible before.

All constructions and results in the remainder of this paper clearly afford specializations at $\pi = -1$, which provide new constructions and new results for quantum supergroups and their representations.

2.5. **The categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{int}}$.** In the remainder of this paper, by a representation of the algebra $\mathbf{U}$ we mean a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-module on which $\mathbf{U}$ acts. Note we have a direct sum decomposition of the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-module $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi = (\pi+1)\mathbb{Q}(q) \oplus (\pi-1)\mathbb{Q}(q)$, where π acts as 1 on $(\pi+1)\mathbb{Q}(q)$ and as -1 on $(\pi-1)\mathbb{Q}(q)$.

2.5.1. We define the category $\mathcal{C}$ (of weight $\mathbf{U}$-modules) as follows. An object of $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\mathbf{U}$-module $M = M_{\overline{0}} \oplus M_{\overline{1}}$, compatible with the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading on $\mathbf{U}$, with a given weight space decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in X} M^\lambda, \qquad M^\lambda = \left\{ m \in M \mid K_\mu m = q^{\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle} m, J_\mu m = \pi^{\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle} m, \forall \mu \in Y \right\},$$

such that $M^\lambda = M^\lambda_{\overline{0}} \oplus M^\lambda_{\overline{1}}$ where $M^\lambda_{\overline{0}} = M^\lambda \cap M_{\overline{0}}$ and $M^\lambda_{\overline{1}} = M^\lambda \cap M_{\overline{1}}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded structure is only particularly relevant to tensor products, and will generally be suppressed when irrelevant. We have the following $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-module decomposition for each weight space: $M^\lambda = (\pi+1)M^\lambda \oplus (\pi-1)M^\lambda$; accordingly, we have $M = M_+ \oplus M_-$ as $\mathbf{U}$-modules, where $M_\pm := \oplus_{\lambda \in X} (\pi \pm 1) M^\lambda$ is an $\mathbf{U}$-module on which π acts as ± 1, i.e. a $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=\pm 1}$-module. Hence the category $\mathcal{C}$ decomposes into a direct sum $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_+ \oplus \mathcal{C}_-$, where $\mathcal{C}_\pm$ can be identified with categories of weight modules over the specializations $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=\pm 1}$.

Lemma 2.5.2. *A simple* $\mathbf{U}$*-module is a simple module of either* $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=1}$ *or* $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=-1}$.

2.5.3. Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and let $m \in M^\lambda$. The formulas below follow from Lemma 2.1.6.

(a) $E_i^{(N)} F_i^{(M)} m = \sum_t \pi_i^{MN - \binom{t+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N - M + \langle \lambda, i \rangle \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i F_i^{(M-t)} E_i^{(N-t)} m$;

(b) $F_i^{(M)} E_i^{(N)} m = \sum_t \pi_i^{(M-t)(N-t)-t^2} \begin{bmatrix} M - N - \langle \lambda, i \rangle \\ t \end{bmatrix}_i E_i^{(N-t)} F_i^{(M-t)} m$;

(c) $F_i^{(M)} E_j^{(N)} m = E_j^{(N)} F_i^{(M)} m$, for $i \neq j$;

(d) $\begin{bmatrix}\widetilde{K}_i; a\\ t\end{bmatrix}_i m = \begin{bmatrix}\langle i,\lambda\rangle + a\\ t\end{bmatrix}_i m.$

2.5.4. *Tensor Product of* **U**-*modules.* Note that $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$ is a superalgebra with multiplication $(a\otimes b)(c\otimes d) = \pi^{p(b)p(c)}ac\otimes bd$. A tensor product of **U**-modules $M\otimes N$ is naturally a $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$-module with the obvious diagonal grading under the action $(x\otimes y)(m\otimes n) = \pi^{p(y)p(m)}xm\otimes yn$.

The tensor product of modules is naturally a **U**-module under the coproduct action. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under tensor products. Note that for $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $M', M''\in\mathcal{C}$, $m'\in M'^{\lambda'}$ and $m''\in M''^{\lambda''}$, we have

$$E_i^{(a)}(m'\otimes m'') = \sum_{a'+a''=a} \pi_i^{a''p(m')+a''\langle i,\lambda'\rangle} q_i^{a'a''+a''\langle i,\lambda'\rangle} E_i^{(a')}m'\otimes E_i^{(a'')}m'',$$
$$F_i^{(a)}(m'\otimes m'') = \sum_{a'+a''=a} \pi_i^{a''p(m')+a'a''} q_i^{-a'a''-a'\langle i,\lambda''\rangle} F_i^{(a')}m'\otimes F_i^{(a'')}m''.$$

2.5.5. To any $M\in\mathcal{C}$, we can define a new **U**-module structure via $u\cdot m = \omega(u)m$; we denote this module by ${}^{\omega}M$. By definition, ${}^{\omega}M^{\lambda} = M^{-\lambda}$.

2.5.6. *Verma modules.* Let $\lambda\in X$. Then there is a unique **U**-module structure on $\mathbf{f}$ such that for any $y\in\mathbf{f}$, $\mu\in Y$ and $i\in I$ we have $K_\mu y = q^{\langle\mu,\lambda-|y|\rangle}y$, $J_\nu y = \pi^{\langle\nu,\lambda-|y|\rangle}y$, $F_i y = \theta_i y$, and $E_i 1 = 0$. As in the non-super case, this follows readily from the triangular decomposition. This module will be called a Verma module and denoted by $M(\lambda)$. The parity grading on $\mathbf{f}$ induces a parity grading on $M(\lambda)$ where $p(1) = 0$. As before, we have a **U**-module decomposition $M(\lambda) = M(\lambda)_+ \oplus M(\lambda)_-$, where $M(\lambda)_\pm$ can be identified as the Verma module of $\mathbf{U}|_{\pi=\pm1}$ (which is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)$-vector space).

2.5.7. For any $M\in\mathcal{C}$ and an element $m\in M^\lambda$ such that $E_i m = 0$ for all i, there is a unique **U**-homomorphism $M(\lambda)\to M$ via $1\mapsto m$. This can be proved as in [Lu, 3.4.6] using now Lemma 2.1.6.

2.5.8. *The category* $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ such that for any M in $\mathcal{O}$, there exists an $n\geq 0$ such that $x^+m = 0$ for all $x\in\mathbf{f}_\nu$ with $\mathrm{ht}\nu\geq n$. Note that $M(\lambda)$ and its quotient **U**-modules belong to $\mathcal{O}$.

2.6. **Integrable objects of $\mathcal{C}$.**

2.6.1. An object $M\in\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *integrable* if for any $m\in M$ and any $i\in I$, there exists $n_0\geq 1$ such that $E_i^{(n)}m = F_i^{(n)}m = 0$ for all $n\geq n_0$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are the integrable **U**-modules.

2.6.2. For $M, M', M''\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$, we have ${}^{\omega}M, M'\otimes M''\in\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$. The proof of the following lemma proceeds as in the non-super case; see [Lu, Lemma 3.5.3].

Lemma 2.6.3. *For $(a_i), (b_i)\in\mathbb{N}^I$ and $\lambda\in X$, let M be the quotient of* **U** *by the left ideal generated by the elements $F_i^{a_i+1}$, $E_i^{b_i+1}$, $K_\mu - q^{\langle\mu,\lambda\rangle}$ with $\mu\in Y$, and $J_\nu - q^{\langle\mu,\lambda\rangle}$ with $\nu\in Y$. Then M is an integrable* **U**-*module.*

The proof of the following proposition proceeds as in the non-super case; see [Lu, Proposition 3.5.4 and 23.3.11].

Proposition 2.6.4. *If* $u \in \mathbf{U}$ *such that* u *acts as zero on every integrable module, then* $u = 0$.

Proposition 2.6.5. *Let* $\lambda \in X^+$.

1. *Let* $\mathcal{T}$ *be the left ideal of* $\mathbf{f}$ *generated by the elements* $\theta_i^{\langle i,\lambda\rangle+1}$ *for all* $i \in I$. *Then* $\mathcal{T}$ *is a* $\mathbf{U}$*-submodule of the Verma module* $M(\lambda)$.
2. *The quotient* $\mathbf{U}$*-module* $V(\lambda) := M(\lambda)/\mathcal{T}$ *is integrable.*

The proof is as in the non-super case [Lu, Proposition 3.5.6]. As usual $V(\lambda) = V(\lambda)_+ \oplus V(\lambda)_-$, and $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_+ \oplus \mathcal{T}_-$; moreover we have the identification $V(\lambda)_\pm = M(\lambda)_\pm/\mathcal{T}_\pm$.

2.6.6. We denote the image of 1 in $V(\lambda)$ by v_λ^+ when convenient. This module has an induced parity grading from the associated Verma module by setting $p(v_\lambda^+) = 0$. When considering the image of 1 in the module ${}^{\omega}V(\lambda)$, we will denote this vector by v_λ^-.

Proposition 2.6.7. *Let* M *be an object of* $\mathcal{C}_{\rm int}$ *and let* $m \in M^\lambda$ *be a non-zero vector such that* $E_i m{=}0$ *for all* i. *Then* $\lambda \in X^+$ *and there is a unique morphism (in* $\mathcal{C}_{\rm int}$*)* $t' : V(\lambda) \to M$ *sending* v_λ^+ *to* m.

The proof is as in the non-super case [Lu, Proposition 3.5.8].

3. The quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix and the quantum Casimir

In this section, we introduce the quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix as well as the quantum Casimir for $\mathbf{U}$ and establish their basic properties. Using Casimir, we show that the category $\mathcal{O}_{\rm int}$ is semisimple and classify its simple object by dominant integral weights.

3.1. The quasi-$\mathcal{R}$-matrix Θ.

3.1.1. *Completions.* Consider the vector spaces

$$\mathcal{H}_N = \mathbf{U}^+\mathbf{U}^0\Big(\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\geq N}\mathbf{U}^-_\nu\Big)\otimes\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}^-\mathbf{U}^0\Big(\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\geq N}\mathbf{U}^+_\nu\Big)$$

for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that $\mathcal{H}_N$ is a left ideal in $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$; moreover, for any $u \in \mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$, we can find an $r \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{H}_{N+r}u \subset \mathcal{H}_N$.

Let $(\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$ be the inverse limit of the vector spaces $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}/\mathcal{H}_n$. Then the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra structure extends by continuity to a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra structure on $(\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$, and we have the obvious algebra embedding $\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U} \to (\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$.

Let $^- : \mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism given by $^-\otimes^-$. This extends to a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism on the completion. Let $\overline{\Delta} : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra homomorphism given by $\overline{\Delta}(x) = \overline{\Delta(\overline{x})}$.

Theorem 3.1.2. (a) *There is a unique family of elements* $\Theta_\nu \in \mathbf{U}^-_\nu \otimes \mathbf{U}^+_\nu$ *(with* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}[I]$*) such that* $\Theta_0 = 1\otimes 1$ *and* $\Theta = \sum_\nu \Theta_\nu \in (\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$ *satisfies* $\Delta(u)\Theta = \Theta\overline{\Delta}(u)$ *for all* $u \in \mathbf{U}$ *(where this identity is in* $(\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$*).*

(b) *Let* B *be a* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$*-basis of* $\mathbf{f}$ *such that* $B_\nu = B\cap\mathbf{f}_\nu$ *is a basis of* $\mathbf{f}_\nu$ *for any* ν. *Let* $\{b^*|b\in B_\nu\}$ *be the basis of* $\mathbf{f}_\nu$ *dual to* B_ν *under* $(,)$. *We have*

$$\Theta_\nu = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu}\pi_\nu q_\nu \sum_{b\in B_\nu} b^-\otimes b^{*+} \in \mathbf{U}^-_\nu\otimes\mathbf{U}^+_\nu.$$

The element Θ will be called the *quasi-*$\mathcal{R}$*-matrix* for $\mathbf{U}$.

Proof. Consider an element $\Theta \in (\mathbf{U}\otimes\mathbf{U})^\wedge$ of the form $\Theta = \sum_\nu \Theta_\nu$ with $\Theta_\nu = \sum_{b,b'\in B_\nu} c_{b',b} b'^- \otimes b^{*+}$, $c_{b',b} \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$. The set of $u \in \mathbf{U}$ such that $\Delta(u)\Theta = \Theta\overline{\Delta}(u)$ is clearly a subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}$ containing $\mathbf{U}^0$. Therefore, it is necessary and sufficient that it contains the E_i and F_i. This amounts to showing that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} c_{b_1,b_2} E_i b_1^- \otimes b_2^{*+} + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} \pi_i^{p(b_3)} c_{b_3,b_4} \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i b_3^- \otimes E_i b_4^{*+} \\ &= \sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} \pi_i^{p(b_2)} c_{b_1,b_2} b_1^- E_i \otimes b_2^{*+} + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} b_3^- \widetilde{K}_{-i} \otimes b_4^{*+} E_i, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} \pi_i^{p(b_1)} c_{b_1,b_2} b_1^- \otimes F_i b_2^{*+} + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} F_i b_3^- \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-i} b_4^{*+} \\ &= \sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} c_{b_1,b_2} b_1^- \otimes b_2^{*+} F_i + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} \pi_i^{p(b_4)} c_{b_3,b_4} b_3^- F_i \otimes b_4^{*+} \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $z \in \mathbf{f}$. Then since the inner product is nondegenerate, this equality is equivalent to the equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} c_{b_1,b_2} (b_2^*, z)(E_i b_1^- - \pi_i^{p(b_2^*)} b_1^- E_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} \left(\pi_i^{p(b_3)} (\theta_i b_4^*, z) \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i b_3^- - (b_4^* \theta_i, z) b_3^- \widetilde{K}_{-i}\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} c_{b_1,b_2} (b_1, z)(\pi_i^{p(b_1)} F_i b_2^{*+} - b_2^{*+} F_i) \\ &\quad + \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} \left((\theta_i b_3, z) \widetilde{K}_{-i} b_4^{*+} - \pi_i^{p(b_4)} (b_3 \theta_i, z) b_4^{*+} \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p(b_1) = p(b_2) = p(b_3) + p(i) = p(b_4) + p(i)$. Using Proposition 2.1.5 and the derivations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} (\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1} c_{b_1,b_2} (b_2^*, z)(\widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i \, {}_ir(b_1)^- - \pi_i^{p(b_1)-p(i)} r_i(b_1)^- \widetilde{K}_{-i}) \\ &+ \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i^{p(b_4^*)} (\theta_i, \theta_i) \left(\pi_i^{p(b_3)} (b_4^*, {}_ir(z)) \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i b_3^- - (b_4^*, r_i(z)) b_3^- \widetilde{K}_{-i}\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{b_1,b_2\in B_\nu} -(\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1})^{-1} c_{b_1,b_2} (b_1, z)(r_i(b_2)^+ \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i - \pi_i^{p(b_2)-p(i)} \widetilde{K}_{-i} \, {}_ir(b_2)^+) \\ &+ \sum_{b_3,b_4\in B_{\nu-i}} c_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i^{p(b_3)} (\theta_i, \theta_i) \left((b_3, {}_ir(z)) \widetilde{K}_{-i} b_4^{*+} - \pi_i^{p(b_4)} (b_3, r_i(z)) b_4^{*+} \widetilde{J}_i \widetilde{K}_i\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangular decomposition, this is equivalent to the equalities

$$\sum_{b_1,b_2} c_{b_1,b_2}(b_2^*, z)\,{}_ir(b_1) + \sum_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i q_i c_{b_3,b_4}(b_4^*, {}_ir(z))b_3 = 0, \tag{c}$$

$$\sum_{b_1,b_2} c_{b_1,b_2}\pi_i^{p(b_1)-p(i)}(b_2^*, z)r_i(b_1) + \sum_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i q_i \pi_i^{p(b_4^*)} c_{b_3,b_4}(b_4^*, r_i(z))b_3 = 0, \tag{d}$$

$$\sum_{b_1,b_2} c_{b_1,b_2}(b_1, z)r_i(b_2) + \sum_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i q_i c_{b_3,b_4}(b_3, r_i(z))b_4^* = 0, \tag{e}$$

$$\sum_{b_1,b_2} \pi_i^{p(b_2)-p(i)} c_{b_1,b_2}(b_1, z)\,{}_ir(b_2) + \sum_{b_3,b_4} \pi_i q_i c_{b_3,b_4}\pi_i^{p(b_3)}(b_3, {}_ir(z))b_4^* = 0. \tag{f}$$

Now when $c_{b,b'} = (-1)^{\mathrm{ht}(\nu)}\pi_\nu q_\nu \delta_{b,b'}$, we have

$$\sum_{b} \pi_\nu q_\nu (b^*, z)\,{}_ir(b) - \sum_{b'} \pi_\nu q_\nu (b'^*, {}_ir(z))b' = 0,$$

$$\sum_{b} \pi_\nu q_\nu \pi_i^{p(b)-p(i)}(b^*, z)r_i(b) - \sum_{b'} \pi_\nu q_\nu \pi^{p(b'^*)}(b'^*, r_i(z))b' = 0,$$

$$\sum_{b} \pi_\nu q_\nu (b, z)r_i(b) - \sum_{b'} \pi_\nu q_\nu (b', r_i(z))b'^* = 0,$$

$$\sum_{b} \pi_\nu q_\nu \pi_i^{p(b)-p(i)}(b, z)\,{}_ir(b) - \sum_{b'} \pi_\nu q_\nu \pi_i^{p(b')}(b', {}_ir(z))b'^* = 0.$$

These equalities are easily verified by checking when z is a basis or dual basis element.

Thus the existence of such a Θ is verified. Suppose Θ'_ν and Θ' also satisfy the conditions in (a). Then $\Theta - \Theta' = \sum c_{b,b'} b^- \otimes b'^+$ must satisfy (c)-(f) and has $c_{b,b} = 0$ for $b \in B_0$. Suppose $c_{b,b'} = 0$ for $b, b' \in B'_\nu$ for $\mathrm{ht}(\nu') < n$ and assume $\mathrm{ht}(\nu) = n$. Then the second sum in (c) is zero, so ${}_ir(\sum_{b_1,b_2} c_{b_1,b_2}(b_2^*, z)b_1) = 0$. But then $\sum_{b_1,b_2} c_{b_1,b_2}(b_2^*, z)b_1 = 0$, whence $(\sum_{b_2} c_{b_1,b_2} b_2^*, z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbf{f}$. Therefore $c_{b_1,b_2} = 0$ for all $b_1, b_2 \in B_\nu$. By induction $\Theta - \Theta' = 0$ proving uniqueness. □

Recall that the bar involution on $\mathbf{U}$ makes sense under the assumption that the super Cartan datum is consistent.

Corollary 3.1.3. *Assume the super Cartan datum is consistent. We have* $\Theta\overline{\Theta} = \overline{\Theta}\Theta = 1 \otimes 1$ *with equality in the completion.*

Proof. First note that by construction Θ is invertible. We have $\Delta(u)\Theta = \Theta\overline{\Delta}(u)$, so $\Theta\Delta(\overline{u}) = \Theta\overline{\Delta}(\overline{u}) = \Theta\overline{\Delta(u)}$. Now applying the bar involution to both sides and rearranging, we get

$$\overline{\Theta}^{-1}\overline{\Delta}(u) = \Delta(u)\overline{\Theta}^{-1}.$$

By uniqueness, $\overline{\Theta}^{-1} = \Theta$. □

3.1.4. We can specialize the identity $\Delta(u)\Theta = \Theta\overline{\Delta}(u)$ to deduce

$$(E_i \otimes 1)\Theta_\nu + (\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i \otimes E_i)\Theta_{\nu-i} = \Theta_\nu(E_i \otimes 1) + \Theta_{\nu-i}(\widetilde{K}_{-i} \otimes E_i),$$

$$(1 \otimes F_i)\Theta_\nu + (F_i \otimes \widetilde{K}_{-i})\Theta_{\nu-i} = \Theta_\nu(1 \otimes F_i) + \Theta_{\nu-i}(F_i \otimes \widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i).$$

Setting $\Theta_{\leq p} = \sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\leq p}\Theta_\nu$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}&(E_i\otimes 1+\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\otimes E_i)\Theta_{\leq p}-\Theta_{\leq p}(E_i\otimes 1+\widetilde{K}_{-i}\otimes E_i)\\&\qquad\qquad=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}(\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\otimes E_i)\Theta_\nu-\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\Theta_\nu(\widetilde{K}_{-i}\otimes E_i),\\&(F_i\otimes\widetilde{K}_{-i}+1\otimes F_i)\Theta_{\leq p}-\Theta_{\leq p}(F_i\otimes\widetilde{K}_i+1\otimes F_i)\\&\qquad\qquad=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}(F_i\otimes\widetilde{K}_{-i})\Theta_\nu-\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\Theta_\nu(F_i\otimes\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i).\end{aligned}$$

3.2. **The quantum Casimir.**

3.2.1. Let B, B_ν be as in Theorem 3.1.2. Let S be the antipode. Applying $m(S\otimes 1)$ to the identities at the end of 3.1.4, we obtain that, for any $p\geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\leq p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu}\pi_\nu q_\nu\Big(S(E_ib^-)b^{*+}+\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_ib^-)E_ib^{*+}\\&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad-\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S_a(b^-E_i)b^{*+}-S(b^-\widetilde{K}_{-i})b^{*+}E_i\Big)\\&=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^p\pi_\nu q_\nu\left(\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_ib^-)E_ib^{*+}-S(b^-\widetilde{K}_{-i})b^{*+}E_i\right),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\leq p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu}\pi_\nu q_\nu\Big(\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-)F_ib^{*+}+S(F_ib^-)\widetilde{K}_{-i}b^{*+}\\&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad-S(b^-)b^{*+}F_i-\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-F_i)b^{*+}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\Big)\\&=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^p\pi_\nu q_\nu\left(S(F_ib^-)\widetilde{K}_{-i}b^{*+}-\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-F_i)b^{*+}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now set $\Omega_{\leq p}=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu\leq p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}\nu}\pi_\nu q_\nu S(b^-)b^{*+}$. Then observing that

$$\begin{aligned}&S(E_ib^-)b^{*+}+\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_ib^-)E_ib^{*+}\\&\qquad=\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-)(-\widetilde{J}_{-i}\widetilde{K}_{-i}E_i)b^{*+}+\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-)\widetilde{J}_{-i}\widetilde{K}_{-i}E_ib^{*+}=0,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{J}_{-i}\widetilde{K}_{-i}E_i\Omega_{\leq p}-\widetilde{K}_i\Omega_{\leq p}E_i\\&\quad=\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^p\pi_\nu q_\nu\left(\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(\widetilde{K}_ib^-)E_ib^{*+}-S(b^-\widetilde{K}_{-i})b^{*+}E_i\right),\\&\Omega_{\leq p}F_i-F_i\widetilde{K}_i\Omega_{\leq p}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\\&\quad=-\sum_{\mathrm{ht}\nu=p}\sum_{b\in B_\nu}(-1)^p\pi_\nu q_\nu\left(S(F_ib^-)\widetilde{K}_{-i}b^{*+}-\pi_i^{p(\nu)}S(b^-F_i)b^{*+}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\right).\end{aligned}$$

3.2.2. Let $M\in\mathcal{O}$. Then for any $m\in M$ we have that $\Omega(m)=\Omega_{\leq p}m$ is independent of p when p is large enough. We can write $\Omega(m)=\sum_b(-1)^{\mathrm{ht}|b|}\pi_{|b|}q_{|b|}S(b^-)b^{*+}m$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{J}_{-i}\widetilde{K}_{-i}E_i\Omega=\widetilde{K}_i\Omega E_i,\qquad\Omega F_i=F_i\widetilde{K}_i\Omega\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i,\qquad\Omega K_\mu=K_\mu\Omega,\tag{a}$$

as operators on M. Therefore for $m \in M^\lambda$, we have

$$\Omega E_i m = (\pi_i q_i^2)^{-\langle i, \lambda + i' \rangle} E_i \Omega m, \qquad \Omega F_i = (\pi_i q_i^2)^{\langle i, \lambda + i' \rangle} F_i \Omega m.$$

3.2.3. Define the $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-algebra isomorphism $\overline{S} : \mathbf{U} \to \mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{sop}}$ by $\overline{S}(u) = \overline{S(\overline{u})}$. Then $\Omega \overline{S}(u) = S(u)\Omega : M \to M$ for $u \in \mathbf{U}$.

3.2.4. Let C be a fixed coset of X with respect to $\mathbb{Z}[I] \leq X$. Let $G : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a function such that

$$G(\lambda) - G(\lambda - i') = \frac{i \cdot i}{2} \langle i, \lambda \rangle \tag{a}$$

for all $\lambda \in C$ and $i \in I$. Clearly, such a function exists and is unique up to addition of a constant function.

Lemma 3.2.5. *Let $\lambda, \lambda' \in C \cap X^+$. If $\lambda \geq \lambda'$ and $G(\lambda) = G(\lambda')$, then $\lambda = \lambda'$.*

3.2.6. Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$. For each $\mathbb{Z}[I]$-coset C in X, define $M_C = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in C} M^\lambda$. It is clear that $M = \bigoplus_C M_C$.

Proposition 3.2.7. *Let $M \in \mathcal{O}$, and let $\Omega : M \to M$ be as above.*

- (a) *Assume there exists C as above such that $M = M_C$. Let $G : C \to \mathbb{Z}$ as in 3.2.4. We define a linear map $\Xi : M \to M$ by $\Xi(m) = (\pi q^2)^{G(\lambda)} m$ for all $\lambda \in C$ and $m \in M^\lambda$. Then $\Omega\Xi$ is a locally finite U-module homomorphism.*
- (b) *Assume that M is a quotient of $M_{\lambda'}$. Then $\Omega\Xi$ acts as $(\pi q^2)^{G(\lambda')}$ on M.*
- (c) *For M as in (a), the eigenvalues of $\Omega\Xi$ are of the form $(\pi q^2)^c$ for $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. We compute that for $m \in M^\lambda$,

$$\Omega\Xi E_i m = \Omega(\pi q^2)^{G(\lambda + i')} E_i m = (\pi q^2)^{G(\lambda + i') - G(\lambda) - s_i \langle i, \lambda + i' \rangle} E_i \Omega\Xi m = E_i \Omega\Xi m.$$

A similar argument applies to the F_i, and clearly $\Omega\Xi$ commutes with K_μ, J_μ proving the first assertion of (a). The local finiteness claim is a standard category $\mathcal{O}$ type argument. Parts (b) and (c) follow now easily. ☐

3.3. **The complete reducibility in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$.** Recall the categories $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$ from 2.5. Form another category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}} := \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{int}}$.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Assume that M is a nonzero quotient of the Verma module $M(\lambda)$ and that M is integrable. Then*

- (a) *$\lambda \in X^+$;*
- (b) *M_+ and M_- are either simple or zero.*

Proof. It is clear that (a) holds by some rank one consideration. An argument similar to that for [Lu, Lemma 6.2.1] shows that if $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M^\lambda = 1$ then M is simple; in this case, M must be equal to either M_+ or M_-. Otherwise, $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M^\lambda = 2$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M^\lambda_+ = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} M^\lambda_1 = 1$, and we repeat the argument above for the integrable $\mathbf{U}$-module $M_\pm$. ☐

Theorem 3.3.2. *Let M be a $\mathbf{U}$-module in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$. Then M is a sum of simple $\mathbf{U}$-submodules.*

Proof. The argument in [Lu, Theorem 6.2.2] holds for $(1+\pi)M$ and $(1-\pi)M$. The result follows. ☐

Corollary 3.3.3. (a) *For $\lambda \in X^+$, the $\mathbf{U}$-modules $V(\lambda)_+$ and $V(\lambda)_-$ are simple objects of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}$.*

(b) *For $\lambda, \lambda' \in X^+$, the* **U***-modules $V(\lambda)_+$ and $V(\lambda')_+$, and respectively $V(\lambda)_-$ and $V(\lambda')_-$, are isomorphic if and only if $\lambda = \lambda'$. (Clearly, $V(\lambda)_+$ and $V(\lambda')_-$ are non-isomorphic.)*

(c) *Any integrable module in $\mathcal{O}$ is a direct sum of simple modules of the form $V(\lambda)_\pm$ for various $\lambda \in X^+$.*

Proof. The argument in [Lu, Corollary 6.2.3] holds using our Lemma 3.3.1 above. □

3.4. **Character formula.**

3.4.1. Denote by $\rho \in X$ such that $\langle i, \rho\rangle = 1$ for all $i \in I$. We expect the following character formula of $V(\lambda)$ for *every* $\lambda \in X^+$:

$$\operatorname{ch} V(\lambda)_\pm = \frac{\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\lambda+\rho)-\rho}}{\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\rho)-\rho}}. \tag{a}$$

This is equivalent to claiming $V(\lambda)$ is always a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-free module for each $\lambda \in X^+$. This character formula holds for $V(\lambda)_+$ with $\lambda \in X^+$ by a theorem of Lusztig [Lu1]. A proof of this formula for $V(\lambda)_-$ is possible, but requires techniques outside the scope of this paper.

Assume now that $\lambda \in X^+$ satisfies an *evenness condition*

$$\langle i, \lambda\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \forall i \in I_{\bar{1}}. \tag{b}$$

Then the action of $\mathbf{U}$ on $V(\lambda)$ factors through an action of the algebra $\mathbf{U}/\mathcal{J}$ (see 2.4.2), and (a) holds by [BKM, Theorem 4.9] on the characters of integrable modules of the usual quantum groups. The irreducible integrable modules of the corresponding Kac-Moody superalgebras were known [Kac] to be parametrized by highest weights $\lambda \in X^+$ satisfying Condition (b). Hence, for $\lambda \in X^+$ which does not satisfy (b), the usual q-deformation argument cannot be applied directly to $V(\lambda)_-$.

Note there are always weights λ satisfying (b) which are large enough relative to every $i \in I$. Therefore, the same type of arguments as in [Lu, Chapter 33] show that the algebra $\mathbf{f}$ and hence $\mathbf{U}$ admit the following equivalent formulations.

Proposition 3.4.2. *The algebra* **f** *is isomorphic to the algebra generated by $\theta_i, i \in I$, subject to the quantum Serre relation as in Proposition 1.5.4.*

Proposition 3.4.3. *The algebra* **U** *is isomorphic to the algebra generated by E_i, F_i $(i \in I)$ and J_μ, K_μ $(\mu \in Y)$, subject to the relations 2.1.1(a)-(f) and the quantum Serre relations for E_i's as well as for F_i's (in place of θ_i's in Proposition 1.5.4).*

3.4.4. As a consequence of 3.4.1(a) and Proposition 3.4.2, the character of $\mathbf{U}^-$ is given by

$$\operatorname{ch} \mathbf{U}^- = \frac{1}{\sum_{w\in W}(-1)^{\ell(w)} e^{w(\rho)-\rho}} = \prod_{\alpha>0}(1-e^{-\alpha})^{(-1)^{1+p(\alpha)}\dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha}, \tag{a}$$

where $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the Kac-Moody superalgebra of type $(I, \cdot)$ (cf. [Kac]), "$\alpha > 0$" denotes positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, $p(\cdot)$ denotes the parity function, and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ denotes the α-root space.

4. Higher Serre relations

In this section we formulate and establish the higher Serre relations, which will be instrumental in determining the action of a braid group on a quantum covering group and integrable modules in a future work.

4.1. **Higher Serre elements.**

4.1.1. For $i, j \in I$, and $n, m \geq 0$, set

$$p(n,m;i,j) = mnp(i)p(j) + \binom{m}{2}p(i).$$

For $i \neq j$, define the elements

$$e_{i,j;n,m} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} (\pi_i q_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)} E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)}, \tag{a}$$

$$e'_{i,j;n,m} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} (\pi_i q_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)} E_i^{(s)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(r)}, \tag{b}$$

$$f_{i,j;n,m} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} q_i^{r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)} F_i^{(s)} F_j^{(n)} F_i^{(r)}, \tag{c}$$

$$f'_{i,j;n,m} = \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} q_i^{r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)} F_i^{(r)} F_j^{(n)} F_i^{(s)}. \tag{d}$$

When there is no confusion by fixing i and j, we will abbreviate $e_{i,j;n,m} = e_{n,m}$, $e'_{i,j;n,m} = e'_{n,m}$, $f_{i,j;n,m} = f_{n,m}$, $f'_{i,j;n,m} = f'_{n,m}$. Note that we have the equalities

$$e'_{n,m} = \sigma(e_{n,m}), \quad f'_{n,m} = \sigma\omega^2(f_{n,m}), \quad e_{n,m} = \omega(\overline{f'_{n,m}}), \quad e'_{n,m} = \omega(\overline{f_{n,m}}). \tag{e}$$

4.2. **Commutations with divided powers.**

Lemma 4.2.1. *The following holds:*

(a) $-q_i^{-n\langle i,j'\rangle-2m}\pi_i^{m+np(j)} E_i e_{n,m} + e_{n,m}E_i = [m+1]_i e_{n,m+1}$.

(b) $-F_i e_{n,m} + \pi_i^{m+np(j)} e_{n,m} F_i = [-n\left\langle i,j'\right\rangle - m + 1]_i \pi_i^{np(j)+1} \widetilde{K}_i^{-1} e_{n,m-1}$.

Proof. When $i \in I_{\bar{0}}$ this is [Lu, Lemma 7.1.2]. We therefore assume $i \in I_{\bar{1}}$. Then, $\langle i,j'\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ by 1.1.1(d). The left hand side of (a) is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^{r+1} \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)+np(j)+m} (\pi_i q_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)-n\langle i,j'\rangle-2m} [r+1]_i E_i^{(r+1)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)} \\
&\qquad + \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} (\pi_i q_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)} [s+1]_i E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s+1)} \\
&= \sum_{r+s=m+1} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)} (\pi_i q_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m)} \\
&\qquad \times \Big((\pi_i q_i)^{r-(m+1)} \pi_i^{r-1+m} [r]_i + (\pi_i q_i)^r [s]_i \Big) E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$p(n,r-1;i,j) \equiv p(n,r;i,j) + np(i)p(j) + (r-1)p(i) \pmod 2 \tag{e}$$

in the last line. Part (a) now follows from the computation

$$(\pi_i q_i)^{r-(m+1)} \pi_i^{r-1+m} [r]_i + (\pi_i q_i)^r [s]_i = q_i^{-s}[r]_i + (\pi_i q_i)^r [s]_i = [r+s]_i = [m+1]_i.$$

To prove (b), observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_i E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)} =& \pi_i^{r+np(j)+s} E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)} F_i - \pi_i^{r+np(j)+1} E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s-1)} [\widetilde{K}_i; s-1] \\
&- \pi_i E_i^{(r-1)} [\widetilde{K}_i; r-1] E_j^{(n)} E_i^{(s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-F_ie_{n,m}+\pi_i^{m+np(j)}e_{n,m}F_i\\
&\quad=\sum_{r+s=m}(-1)^r\pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)+r+np(j)+1}(\pi_iq_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)}E_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s-1)}[\widetilde{K}_i;s-1]\\
&\qquad+\sum_{r+s=m}(-1)^r\pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)+1}(\pi_iq_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)}E_i^{(r-1)}[\widetilde{K}_i;r-1]E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}\\
&\quad=\sum_{r+s=m-1}(-1)^r\pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)+r+np(j)+1}(\pi_iq_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)}E_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}[\widetilde{K}_i;s]\\
&\qquad+\sum_{r+s=m-1}(-1)^{r-1}\pi_i^{p(n,r+1;i,j)+1}(\pi_iq_i)^{-(r+1)(n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1)}E_i^{(r)}[\widetilde{K}_i;r]E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}\\
&\quad=\sum_{r+s=m-1}(-1)^r\pi_i^{p(n,r;i,j)}(\pi_iq_i)^{-r(n\langle i,j'\rangle+(m-1)-1)}\pi_i^{np(j)+1}\pi_i^r(\bigstar)E_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}
\end{aligned}$$

where, using (e) we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bigstar)=&(\pi_iq_i)^{-r}[\widetilde{K}_i;-s-n\left\langle i,j'\right\rangle-2r]-(\pi_iq_i)^{-n\langle i,j'\rangle-m+1-r}[\widetilde{K}_i;-r]\\
=&\frac{(\pi_iq_i)^{-r}(\pi_iq_i)^{-m+1-n\langle i,j'\rangle-r}-(\pi_iq_i)^{-n\langle i,j'\rangle-m+1-r}(\pi_iq_i)^{-r}}{\pi_iq_i-q_i^{-1}}\widetilde{J}_i\widetilde{K}_i\\
&\quad+\frac{(\pi_iq_i)^{-n\langle i,j'\rangle-m+1-r}q_i^r-(\pi_iq_i)^{-r}q_i^{n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1+r}}{\pi_iq_i-q_i^{-1}}\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}\\
=&\pi_i^r\frac{(\pi_iq_i)^{-n\langle i,j'\rangle-m+1}-q_i^{n\langle i,j'\rangle+m-1}}{\pi_iq_i-q_i^{-1}}\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (b). □

The next result, which is a π-analogue of [Lu, Lemma 7.1.3], follows by a straightforward induction argument.

Lemma 4.2.2. *The following formulas hold:*

(a)

$$E_i^{(N)}e_{n,m}=\sum_{k=0}^{N}(-1)^kq_i^{N(n\langle i,j'\rangle+2m)+(N-1)k}\pi_i^{N(np(j)+m)+\binom{k}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}m+k\\k\end{bmatrix}_ie_{n,m+k}E_i^{(N-k)};$$

(b)

$$F_i^{(M)}e_{n,m}=$$

$$\sum_{h=0}^{M}(-1)^hq_i^{-(M-1)h}\pi_i^{M(m+np(j))+(M-m)h}\begin{bmatrix}-n\left\langle i,j'\right\rangle-m+h\\h\end{bmatrix}_i\widetilde{K}_i^{-h}e_{n,m-h}F_i^{(M-h)}.$$

Lemma 4.2.3. *Let* $m=1-n\left\langle i,j'\right\rangle$. *Then*

$$F_je_{n,m}-\pi_j^{mp(i)+n}e_{n,m}F_j=\pi_j^n\left(\widetilde{K}_j^{-1}\frac{q_j^{n-1}}{\pi_jq_j-q_j^{-1}}e_{n-1,m}-\widetilde{J}_j\widetilde{K}_j\frac{q_j^{1-n}}{\pi_jq_j-q_j^{-1}}\overline{e_{n-1,m}}\right).$$

Proof. To begin, if $r+s=m$, then

$$F_jE_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}=\pi_j^{mp(i)+n}E_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n)}E_i^{(s)}F_j-\pi_j^{rp(i)+1}E_i^{(r)}E_j^{(n-1)}[\widetilde{K}_j,n-1]E_i^{(s)}.$$

Since $m = 1 - \langle i, j' \rangle$, the exponent of $\pi_i q_i$ in $e_{n,m}$ is 0; see 4.1.1(a). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&F_j e_{n,m} - \pi_j^{mp(i)+n} e_{n,m} F_j \\
&= -\pi_j \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n-1,r;i,j)} [\widetilde{K}_j; 1-n-ra_{ji}] E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n-1)} E_i^{(s)} \\
&= -\pi_j \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n-1,r;i,j)} q_i^{-r\langle i,j' \rangle} \frac{(\pi_j q_j)^{1-n}}{\pi_j q_j - q_j^{-1}} \widetilde{J}_j \widetilde{K}_j E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n-1)} E_i^{(s)} \\
&\quad + \pi_j \sum_{r+s=m} (-1)^r \pi_i^{p(n-1,r;i,j)} (\pi_i q_i)^{r\langle i,j' \rangle} \frac{(\pi_j q_j)^{n-1}}{\pi_j q_j - q_j^{-1}} \widetilde{K}_j^{-1} E_i^{(r)} E_j^{(n-1)} E_i^{(s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have used $p(n,r;i,j) = p(n-1,r;i,j) + rp(i)p(j)$ to simplify the second line, and $q_i^{-r\langle i,j' \rangle} = q_j^{-r\langle j,i' \rangle}$ and $\pi_j^{r\langle j,i' \rangle} = 1 = \pi_i^{r\langle i,j' \rangle}$ in the two subsequent lines. Since $(n-1)\langle i, j' \rangle + m - 1 = -\langle i, j' \rangle$, the result follows. ☐

As a consequence of the previous lemmas we obtain a generalization of the quantum Serre relations.

Proposition 4.2.4 (Higher Serre Relations). *If $m > -n \langle i, j' \rangle$, then $e_{i,j;n,m} = 0$.*

Proof. As before, fix i and j and write $e_{n,m} = e_{i,j;n,m}$. Note that $e'_{1,1-\langle i,j' \rangle} = \sigma(e_{1,1-\langle i,j' \rangle})$ is just the usual quantum Serre relations (see Proposition 1.5.4). Using Lemma 4.2.1(a), it follows by induction on m that $e_{1,m} = 0$ for $m \geq 1 - \langle i, j' \rangle$. Now, let $n > 1$ and assume that $e_{n-1,m} = 0$ for all $m > (1-n)\langle i, j' \rangle$. By Lemma 4.2.1(b), $e_{n,1-n\langle i,j' \rangle}$ supercommutes with F_i, and by Lemma 4.2.3 and induction, it supercommutes with F_j (note that $m = 1 - n\langle i, j' \rangle > (1-n)\langle i, j' \rangle$). It trivially supercommutes with F_k for $k \neq i, j$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2.7 we deduce that $e_{n,1-n\langle i,j' \rangle} = 0$. Again, using 4.2.1(a) and induction m the $e_{n,m} = 0$ for $m \geq 1 - n\langle i, j' \rangle$. ☐

References

[BKM] G. Benkart, S.-J. Kang and D. Melville, *Quantized enveloping algebras for Borcherds superalgebras*, Trans. AMS. **350** (1998), 3297–3319.

[CHW] S. Clark, D. Hill, and W. Wang, *Quantum supergroups II. Canonical basis*, to appear.

[CW] S. Clark and W. Wang, *Canonical basis for quantum* $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$, Lett. Math. Phys. (to appear), arXiv: 1204.3940.

[Dr] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the ICM (Berkeley, 1986), 798–820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.

[EKL] A. Ellis, M. Khovanov and A. Lauda, *The odd nilHecke algebra and its diagrammatics*, IMRN, to appear, arXiv:1111.1320.

[Gr] N. Geer, *Etingof-Kazhdan quantization of Lie superbialgebras.* Adv. Math. **207** (2006), 1–38.

[HW] D. Hill and W. Wang, *Categorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras*, arXiv:1202.2769.

[Jan] J.C. Jantzen, *Lectures on quantum groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **6**, AMS, Providence, RI, 1996.

[Jim] M. Jimbo, *A q-analogue of* $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$*, Hecke algebra, and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986), 247–252.

[Kac] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional algebras, Dedekind's* η*–function, classical Möbius function and the very strange formula*, Adv. Math. **30** (1978), 85–136.

[KKT] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and S. Tsuchioka, *Quiver Hecke superalgebras*, arXiv:1107.1039.

[K] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63**, 465-516 (1991).

[Lu1] G. Lusztig, *Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras*, Adv. in Math. **70** (1990), 447–498.

[Lu] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birrhäuser, 1994.

[MZ] I. Musson and Y.-M. Zou, *Crystal Bases for* $U_q(osp(1,2n))$, J. Alg. **210** (1998), 514–534.

[Wa] W. Wang, *Double affine Hecke algebras for the spin symmetric group*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), 1071–1085.

[Ya] H. Yamane, *Quantized enveloping algebras associated with simple Lie superalgebras and their universal R-matrices*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **30** (1994), 15–87.

[Zou] Y.-M. Zou, *Integrable representations of* $U_q(osp(1,2n))$, J. of Pure and Applied Alg. **130** (1998), 99–112.

Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904

E-mail address: `sic5ag@virginia.edu (S. Clark), deh4n@virginia.edu (D. Hill), ww9c@virginia.edu (W. Wang)`